Application ID: 1-1165-42560

Entity/Applicant Name: Dot Connect Africa

String: dotAfrica

Early Warning Issue Date: 20 November 2012

Early Warning Description – This will be posted publicly:

The African Union Commission wishes to express its objection to the application submitted by Dot Connect Africa (DCA) for the .Africa geographic Top Level Domain.

The African Union Commission (AUC) has the mandate of African governments to ‘establish dotAfrica as a continental (geographic) Top-Level Domain for use by organisations, businesses and individuals with guidance from African Internet Agencies’ and ‘to set up the structures and modalities for the implementation of dotAfrica project’ as provided for in the Abuja Declaration. In keeping with this mandate and following an open and transparent Request for Proposal process, UniForum SA, trading as the ZA Central Registry, was appointed as the registry operator to manage and administer the dotAfrica gTLD on behalf of the African Community for the benefit of the African region.

The application fails to meet the minimum requirements prescribed by ICANN in the gTLD Applicant Guidebook concerning geographic names.

- It is a geographic string application that does not have the requisite minimum support from African governments.
- DCA’s application constitutes an unwarranted intrusion and interference on the African Union Commission’s (AUC) mandate from African governments to establish the structures and modalities for the implementation of the dotAfrica (.Africa) project; and
- Its application does not adequately and substantively differentiate itself from the AUC’s officially endorsed application for the dotAfrica (.Africa) geographic string and as such will likely result in public confusion with ensuing adverse affects on the goodwill and effectiveness of the African TLD space.
- Post-amendment, DCA’s applied for string is identical to the dotAfrica (.Africa) application officially endorsed by the African Union Commission (AUC) and the 39 individual African governments that have submitted letters of support per the Applicants’ Guide Book (Ref # 1-1234-89583).
Reason/Rationale for the Warning – This will be posted publicly:

- **DCA’s Application lacks the requisite Government Support**
  - Paragraph 2.2.1.4.2 (section 2-16) of the Applicants’ Guidebook prescribes that certain applied-for-strings may qualify as “Geographic Names” and must therefore be accompanied by documentation of support or non-objection from the relevant governments or public authorities. In particular, the guidebook requires at least 60% of the relevant national governments in a region to provide documentation in support of new applications for geographic strings and there must be no more than one written statement of objection.
  - Africa is a clearly designated geographic region as defined in the UNESCO “Composition of macro geographical (continental) regions, geographical sub-regions, and selected economic and other groupings” list. In this regard the designation of the official AUC endorsed dotAfrica (.Africa) TLD string application as a geographic name is therefore technically and procedurally correct. The AUC is confident that the “geographic evaluation process” that this application is subject to provides sufficient checks and balances for the protection of interests and rights of African governments and the pan-African community.
  - The issue as to whether DCA’s application for the .dotAfrica string (1-1165-42560) will constitute a geographic name as outlined in the Applicant’s Guidebook is uncertain, notwithstanding the fact that the applicant itself has designated the application as a “geographic name”.
  - According the Applicant’s Guidebook (section 2-17) “Strings that include but do not match a Geographic Name will not be considered geographic names as defined in section 2.2.1.4.2 and therefore will not require documentation of government support in the evaluation process.”
  - DCA’s amended application is identical to the AUC-endorsed application and must be regarded as a geographic name for purposes of evaluation. It must consequently be subjected to the criteria and rules applicable to the evaluation of geographic names, including government support.
  - In particular we contend that the DCA’s amended .Africa application does not sufficiently differentiate it from the AUC’s endorsed dotAfrica (.Africa) geographic string application and will therefore confuse the public.
  - Being a Union of 54 (fifty four) African states and specifically being mandated by these states to “Set up the structure and modalities for the Implementation of the dotAfrica (.Africa) project” the AUC is in an authoritative position to declare African government support or opposition to any “Africa” geographic string application.
  - In contrast to the DCA application, the AUC’s officially endorsed dotAfrica (.Africa) geographic application (1-1234-89583) has the support of over 39 (thirty nine) individual national governments in Africa, which exceeds the minimum governmental support prescribed by ICANN for new geographic strings.
- **Unwarranted Interference and Intrusion**
  - DCA’s application constitutes an unwarranted intrusion and interference with the mandate given to the AUC by African Head of States and African Ministers responsible for Communication and Information Technologies. In this regard the AUC has been mandated to establish dotAfrica (.Africa) as a continental Top-Level Domain for use by organisations, businesses and individuals with guidance from African Internet Agencies and in doing so to set up the structures and modalities for the implementation of the dotAfrica (.Africa) project. DCA’s persistent interference in this process is likely
to have substantive political, economic and social repercussions in Africa.

3. Confusing Similarity
   - DCA’s applied for string (.Africa) is identical to the dotAfrica (.Africa) geographic application as officially endorsed by the AUC. Should DCA’s application be allowed to proceed, it is likely to deceive and/or confuse the public into believing that the AUC is associated with, or endorses their application, which we clearly do not.
   - In particular, we contend that the amended DCA’s .Africa application does not sufficiently differentiate it from the AUC’s endorsed dotAfrica (.Africa) geographic application and will therefore confuse and deceive the public.

Possible Remediation steps for Applicant – This will be posted publicly:

Further Notes from GAC Member(s) (Optional) – This will be posted publicly:
INFORMATION FOR APPLICANTS

About GAC Early Warning

The GAC Early Warning is a notice only. It is not a formal objection, nor does it directly lead to a process that can result in rejection of the application. However, a GAC Early Warning should be taken seriously as it raises the likelihood that the application could be the subject of GAC Advice on New gTLDs or of a formal objection at a later stage in the process. Refer to section 1.1.2.4 of the Applicant Guidebook (http://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/agb) for more information on GAC Early Warning.

Instructions if you receive the Early Warning

ICANN strongly encourages you work with relevant parties as soon as possible to address the concerns voiced in the GAC Early Warning.

Asking questions about your GAC Early Warning

If you have questions or need clarification about your GAC Early Warning, please contact gacearlywarning@gac.icann.org. As highlighted above, ICANN strongly encourages you to contact gacearlywarning@gac.icann.org as soon as practicable regarding the issues identified in the Early Warning.

Continuing with your application

If you choose to continue with the application, then the “Applicant’s Response” section below should be completed. In this section, you should notify the GAC of intended actions, including the expected completion date. This completed form should then be sent to gacearlywarning@gac.icann.org. If your remediation steps involve submitting requests for changes to your application, see the change request process at http://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/customer-service/change-requests.

In the absence of a response, ICANN will continue to process the application as submitted.

Withdrawing your application

If you choose to withdraw your application within the 21-day window to be eligible for a refund of 80% of the evaluation fee (USD 148,000), please follow the withdrawal process published at http://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/customer-service/withdrawal-refund. Note that an application can still be withdrawn after the 21-day time period; however, the available refund amount is reduced. See section 1.5 of the Applicant Guidebook.

For questions please contact: gacearlywarning@gac.icann.org
Applicant Response: