
ICANN82 | CF – GAC Communique Drafting (1 of 6)
Tuesday, March 11, 2025 – 16:30 to 17:30 PST

JULIA CHARVOLEN

Hello and welcome to the ICANN82 GAC Communique drafting session on Tuesday, 11 March, at 13:15 local time. Please note that this session is being recorded and is governed by the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior and the ICANN Community Anti-Harassment Policy.

During this session, questions or comments submitted in the chat will be read aloud if put in the proper form. Remember to state your name and the language you will speak in case you will be speaking a language other than English. Speak clearly and at a reasonable pace to allow for accurate interpretation, and please make sure to mute all other devices when you are speaking. You may access all other available features for this session in the Zoom toolbar. And with that, I will give the floor over to Nico Caballero, GAC Chair. Thank you, and over to you, Nico.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Thank you so much, Julia. Welcome back, everyone. I hope you enjoyed your lunch. Apparently, we're a little bit-- our colleagues are a little bit slower today coming back from lunch, given the fact that we have improved a lot in terms of coffee provision. Let me mention that, which is greatly appreciated, by the way.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

Let me give you some background as regarding the timeline and responsibilities as regarding the drafting of the communiqué, not only today, but what we have been doing for the last month and weeks and days. So prior to ICANN82 GAC members and GAC working groups suggested potential topics for GAC communiqué or advice and any messages that should be or not conveyed at this time. And we received some input in the document, still in the Google document format, and which, by the way, let me tell you, it's still open.

Then by last Sunday, March 8th, which is basically day one of ICANN, it was day one of ICANN82, I highlighted the areas for possible advice during the GAC opening plenary session. By Monday-- let me check one thing. Yes, by Monday, March 10th, that is yesterday, which was basically day three of our GAC meetings. You, distinguished GAC members were supposed to continue identifying potential topics for the GAC communiqué.

And by today, Tuesday, March 11th, the GAC topic leads are still invited to provide any text summarizing your proceedings as per section 2, sorry, 3.2 of the working group of the draft communiqué and GAC support staff, as a matter of fact, as we speak, drafting text of non-advice sections of the communiqué, like inter-constituency activities, community engagement, internal matters, and so on and so forth.

And I, myself, along with the vice chairs are going to be suggesting topics and possible draft language for issues of importance to the GAC or GAC consensus advice, or even follow up on consensus

advice sections of the communiqué, depending on what we decide, depending on what we discussed today, if we finally decide to include it in the communiqué or not. So, we have a lot to unpack here.

So, with that, let me give the floor to my good friend Fabien Betremieux. He's going to walk us through the different, as we usually do at the beginning of each draft communiqué section the different parts, the different sections of the communiqué and what kind of input we would need, what kind of input we have so far, as I mentioned before. And so, let's dive in. Fabien, all yours.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX

Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, this is Fabien Betremieux from the GAC support team. And, Gulten, I'll ask you to scroll down slowly. Let's pass that part. That's just a reminder of how you can contribute to the document. So, please make sure that when you make an edit in the document, you will see on the right-hand side a pop-up show up. And please identify yourself or the group of drafters. And then, don't forget to hit reply on that little pop-up that will show up, so that we can know who proposed a piece of text or an edit.

If you scroll down, so we're going to get to the communiqué document. And as Nico suggested, we're going to review each of the sections to make sure everyone is aware and understands how the communiqué is structured and what goes where in the communiqué. So, this is the preamble. The date here is highlighted because, given the review period, this is an estimated date. Hopefully, it will be the publication date of the communiqué. But

in case there needs to be further discussion as far as the review of the communiqué, the review period, that date could change. It's never been the case, so we just plan for that contingency.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Fabien, sorry to interrupt you, but at this point, I will ask you to be especially patient and illustrative, I would say, given the fact that since Istanbul, we have 60 new delegates and not all of them might be familiar with the way we draft and we receive input for the communiqué. So, please bear in mind, not everybody in the room exactly knows how the whole thing works. So, I kindly ask you to be especially patient. I will try to be patient myself, not my characteristic, but anyways, go ahead.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX

Thank you, Mr. Chair. As far as the process, you may recall that we discussed the entire drafting process in the opening plenary session. So, if we need to, we could go back to that eventually, but I'm assuming that at least most of the participants attended the opening plenary session where we explained the whole process. So, what we're trying to do right now is explain the structure of the communiqué, which we did not do in the introduction of the process.

So, if we scroll down here, you have a preamble that just provides a few reminders about the meeting, about the records of the GAC, and about that review period we just talked about. And here, you see that the preamble assumes that no objections were raised

during the agreed time frame before publication. So, section I is the introduction where we provide a count of attendance of GAC members and observers.

And we clarify that all the-- which to a newcomer might be a bit surprising, why are we mentioning that all the GAC plenary sessions and working group sessions were conducted as open meetings? Well, this is because it wasn't the case in the past, and I would say before 2015 or 16. And so, we're continuing a tradition now that all the GAC meetings are open to the entire community. And so, this is why this sentence is here.

If we scroll down, we get to the second section of the communiqué, which is the inter-constituency activities and community engagement, where we reflect the topics that were discussed with each of the groups with which the GAC had a bilateral meeting. So, we do not generally discuss the substance of-- we do not reflect the substance of the discussion here. This is more of information about the topics that were discussed and not the substance. The substance of the discussion is summarized in the GAC meeting minutes that we put together and circulate a few weeks after the meeting. So, for a summary of a particular substantive discussion, you can go into the minutes, and we have a link to the minutes in the preamble of the communiqué. So, that explains why this section might seem a little dry, but again, the substance is available in the minutes.

And so, the GAC met with the ICANN Board at ICANN82, with the ALAC, with the SSAC, with the GNSO Council, with the Contracted

Party House of the GNSO, the NCSG, The Non-Commercial Stakeholder Group of the GNSO, the ccNSO. And we also make a reference to cross community discussions in which GAC members participated.

Next section, section III, is the internal matters where we reflect, as you can see here, new members in the members and observers in the committee. This is also where we, in this GAC leadership section, reflect results of elections and transitions in vice chairmanship and chairmanship as well. Can you just scroll down just a little bit more? Yeah, thank you.

Then, we also here have a section where working groups report on their activities. So, we expect a report here from the public safety working group for this meeting. And then, we have several other sections, one that reflects any consideration of operational matters. We also reflect here in this part of the communiqué capacity development activities. So, we've already provided text on that as well. And then, I think that might be it for subsection at the moment. But there are sometimes other topics that pop up in that section.

Now, we're moving to the most substantive portions of the communiqué where positions of the GAC are reflected in issues of importance, for instance, on those issues that are of importance to the GAC, but do not raise to the level of advice to the ICANN Board, which is the next section.

So, for instance, here, you see that we've noted indications in the plenary sessions that there would be text proposed on domain

name registration data on the three subtopics of urgent requests, the RDRS and accuracy of registration data. We're also aware that text is being developed regarding the next round of new gTLDs on the applicant support program and application fees and refunds.

I believe we also have a third topic, which is indeed the community participant code of conduct concerning statements of interest, and we received text a few minutes ago on that. And I believe at the moment, this is all the themes we are aware of on urgent requests. And we'll get to that, Nico, once we've covered the structure of the communiqué. We'll ask for members to indicate if there are additional intentions for additional text on other topics.

The next section is GAC consensus advice to the ICANN Board. This is where the GAC states its formal advice to the ICANN Board. Consensus advice is defined in the ICANN bylaws, and there is a specific process associated with it, and certain requirements on the ICANN Board as to how an advice must be considered. And so you will see in the footnote here in the communiqué the details of that provision in the bylaws.

And so the structure of an advice is generally a short preamble that then leads to the GAC advises the Board to, and then description, an active description of the advice to the ICANN Board with a rationale. So again, this is also the process of evolution and collaboration between the GAC and the ICANN Board to ensure that advice is clearly identified and a rationale is provided to explain and provide enough context to ensure that the Board's understanding of the advice is clear.

You may also be aware that the drafting of GAC advice and the inclusion of GAC advice in a communiqué leads to a clarification call with the ICANN Board several weeks after the meeting, which is an opportunity for the Board to ask clarifying questions on advice to the GAC, and leading in eventually to a response or consideration of formal consideration by the Board of the GAC advice, which is reflected in a Board resolution and a scorecard. So we do not have any, we're not aware of consideration of advice for now.

And so we have two more sections in the communiqué. Section XI is follow-up on previous advice. So this section is specifically tailored towards following up on an existing piece of advice with the idea that when following up on a previous piece of advice, the GAC tries to stay as close to the initial advice as to ensure that the advice remains clear because the risk in following up on a piece of advice and adding additional consideration might complicate the processing of that advice. But so again, this section is really for the purpose of following on pre-existing advice that may have been considered or not one way or another.

And then finally, the last section is not really substantive. It just refers to the next GAC meeting, which in our case is expected during ICANN83 in Prague from the 9th to 12th of June, 2025.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Thank you so very much for that detailed explanation, Fabien. And just to add that right after, I think you already mentioned that anyways, but right after we finish drafting the communiqué and it's

approved by everybody in the room and online, there's a 72-hour period in order to make sure that you could go back to your capitals and talk about any potential issue there might or might not be. And we kindly suggest extreme caution there and in order not to make any unnecessary changes that would delay the circulation of the official communiqué.

So having said that, let me pause here for a second in order to see if we're all on the same page, if there are any questions about the structure or the way. And this again, with all due respect, but this is for the benefit of the new delegates. I'm not saying that you don't understand or anything. I just want to make sure that everybody understands the internal mechanics of the whole process. I don't see any hand online and I see no hands in the room, which is very good indeed because it means that we're all on the same page. So let's start, Fabien, let's start reading the parts of the communiqué for which we already have input so far.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX

So we suggest we proceed to the issues of importance and ensure that we've identified all the ongoing or issues being considered at this point. And then doing the same with the advice section, and then depending on those conversations, then we can move on to text that has been proposed already.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Sounds good to me. So let's jump to section IV, which is issues of importance. Let me get there. And here we go. So under issues of

importance, so far we have domain name registration data. And under that section we have A, urgent requests for disclosure of registration data. B, registration data request service, RDRS. C, accuracy of registration data.

Secondly, we have next round of new gTLDs. A, applicant support program, ASP. And B, application fees and refunds. And that would be-- okay, yeah, that's in the second place. And then thirdly, we would have ICANN community participant code of conduct concerning SOIs or statements of interest. So I'll start reading right there. And then I'll pause in order to see if we have any immediate reactions.

So the text reads, and let me get there, the GAC has addressed the matter of transparency and statements of interest, SOI, in prior GAC communiqué since ICANN76 and addressed a letter to the ICANN Board chair on this subject. Since transparency in ICANN's multi-stakeholder model remains a top priority for the committee. The GAC notably included language to this effect in both the ICANN80 Kigali communiqué and the ICANN81 Istanbul communiqué, recalling that ICANN bylaws require ICANN and its constituent bodies to, “operate to the maximum extent feasible in an open and transparent manner and consistent with procedures designed to ensure fairness.”

The GAC firmly supports transparency within ICANN and considers it inconsistent with the ICANN bylaws if ICANN participants are permitted to decline disclosing who they represent. Therefore, the GAC recalls its input to the public comment process on the draft

ICANN community participant code of conduct on statements of interest, and reiterates that it welcomes the proposed draft as well as the approach to a general ethics policy that includes this code.

The GAC welcomes the cross-community discussion had on this matter during ICANN82.

Going forward, the GAC is of the view that the clear line approach contained in the draft code stating inter alia that “specifically all who participate in ICANN processes are required to disclose the interests influencing their participation, end quote,” and, “when disclosure cannot be made the participant must not participate in ICANN processes on that issue,” must be maintained in the final version of the code.

Such simplicity and clarity is the cornerstone for a well-functioning and applicable framework.

ICANN should support consistent implementation of the code by developing brief and simple guidance on the application of the code, by providing specific training and educational materials to community leaders and participants, tasked with applying and respecting the code, as well as by foreseeing adequate data collection points and periodic review tools on the practical application of the code.

The GAC intends to continue reviewing the progress of this process and engaging with the Board and community on the matter and looks forward to a speedy completion and adoption of the code.

I'll stop here. By the way, let me ask our distinguished interpreters if I'm doing okay in terms of speed. Please show me thumbs up or down or so far so good. Thank you. Okay. I'll stop here in order to see if we have any reactions, comments, edits, or anything you would like to say regarding this part of this section, which is section IV, issues of importance to the GAC. Remember, this is not advice. This is not consensus advice. This is section IV, issues of importance. And I see Switzerland. Please go ahead.

JORGE CANCIO

Thank you, Nico. Jorge Cancio, Switzerland for the record. Just to explain a little bit where this text comes from. So I was voluntold by the leadership to provide a text. So this is my task being completed, I hope. And if you compare this with the letter we sent to the public comment period, the first two powers are quite identical.

And the rest of the text reflects, I think, the discussion we had yesterday in the cross-community session on the matter and hopefully also the views of the GAC that we want a simple and clear rule on this. As you have said so many times, Nico, this should be a straightforward issue. And we invite ICANN Org to help the community by providing training, educational materials, guidance, etc., which is something we discussed yesterday in the dialogue. So I just wanted to share this with you. Thank you.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Oh, no. Thank you, Switzerland. Thank you for volunteering, even though you were voluntold, as you correctly pointed out. But thank you so much for taking the time to draft this. By the way, let me ask the full GAC at this point, if you think that this should be under issues of importance, or if given the fact that this would be like the fourth or fifth time, as a matter of fact, that we're addressing the same issue, if it should be, again, under issues of importance, or if it should be actual GAC advice. I'm just asking because I was asked myself. So the floor is open. And I have Australia.

IAN SHELDON

Thank you, Nico. Ian Sheldon, GAC Australia. I think we'd be supportive of this staying in issues of importance. And thanks again to Jorge for drafting and supplying this text and leading this effort. We continue to monitor this with a fair bit of interest. And I think it's worth reiterating that this is to be processed as expeditiously as possible. So comfortable with this text where it is. I think we should continue to keep raising these points.

And apologies, I missed the front end of this discussion. But I'm not sure if there's any language in here about keeping things as-- if there's any language around, I'm not trying to boil the ocean, perhaps. I remember there was some discussion yesterday about trying to get this across the line and keeping this as straightforward as possible, not letting the, what is it, perfect be the enemy of good. So perhaps I can work with Nico, with Nigel and Jorge on inserting a little bit of extra text to that effect. Happy to do that offline.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Thank you so much, Australia. As a matter of fact, I totally agree with you. I was just asking just in case because I was told myself to do that. So having said that, I have Canada next.

DAVID BEDARD

Thanks, Nico. This is David Bedard from Canada. And thank you, Jorge, for the suggested text. And just to reiterate my support for the text to remain in issues of importance. I think it's important. I think we had some good discussions at the ICANN82 cross-community discussion on this yesterday. And it remains an issue of importance across the community and including the GAC. So the text looks good. I do echo Ian's comment on not boiling the ocean and make sure that we have very clear guidance on the matter. But thanks again, Jorge, for the text.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Thank you, Canada. And I fully agree, by the way. Any other comment or question? Any edits that you might? Okay, I have the UK. Go ahead, please.

NIGEL HICKSON

Good afternoon. Yes, thanks, Mr. Chairman. No, fully agree with its placement in the issues of importance. And thanks to Jorge for drafting this. We'll reflect on this. I think it is important, so to speak, to make sure the Board continue to understand. I mean, the letter that we sent during the consultation process, I think was

fairly clear. But we don't want to be coming back in two to three years' time and finding this has been parked in some alleyway and no progress has been made. As several commentators said in the session yesterday afternoon, I mean, this is done all the time in various business and international organizations. And no one else seems to have a real problem in implementing such concerns. So hopefully we can move forward.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Thank you so much, UK. And speaking of moving forward, seeing no hand online or any other hand in the room, let's move on. Fabien, back to you.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX

So I think we also have indication that there will be text provided on DNS abuse. So that makes it four topics in the issues of importance or at least three subsections. Are there maybe consideration of other topics we have not captured at the moment? What we also try to do at this time is to identify who the penholders are on those topics in case members are interested to contribute.

So I think we've identified the penholder, potential penholders on the code of conduct regarding statements of interest. DNS abuse is a European Commission that suggested the topic so we can identify it as such. And as for domain name registration data, I believe it's the group, it's a group of topic leads, as well as for the next round. Okay. So I suppose those are the topic leads that hopefully you can get in touch with if you are interested.

NICOLAS CABALLERO Thank you, Fabien. One question though, do we already have a draft as per topic number one, two and three and so on or not yet?

FABIEN BETREMIEUX I'm aware of drafting ongoing, but I'm not aware of drafts ready for consideration.

NICOLAS CABALLERO Thank you, Fabien. I have the European Commission . Please go ahead.

GEMMA CAROLILLO Thank you, Nico. Gemma Carolillo, European Commission . I'm trying to address your question. So both on domain name registration data and DNS abuse, the group of topic leads is working on drafts also with the possible input from other interested colleagues. And in a way, for example, on domain name registration data, I think the text is very close to be submitted, but we also want to wait for the meeting with the Board to see whether there are new developments that might need to be included in the text, but the colleagues should receive it very soon. Thank you.

NICOLAS CABALLERO Thank you so much for that, Gemma, European Commission . Yeah, no rush. I mean, it's not urgent. And as you correctly pointed out, it would be very good to wait until we have the meeting with the

Board today. So again, Fabien, do we have any other draft text to review as of right now or?

FABIEN BETREMIEUX

We do not have additional substantive text. And this is assuming that as far as advice, there are no consideration of advice at the moment. What we have in terms of text is in section III, internal matters, where we have populated the section on GAC membership, GAC leadership, GAC working groups, sorry, operational matters.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

So given the fact that we don't have text on the other sections yet, let's, yeah, go ahead.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX

And just make one comment. You see the text on the screen is all colored because probably somebody copied the text in some fashion. And so I just want everyone to be aware that when you are in the document, in order to be able to contribute text, we leave you the ability to change it. But so then the position of your cursor, as well as what you do with the text is visible to everyone. And so that might interfere with the reading and with the ability to visualize.

So for instance, somebody here has the entire text selected. We can't do anything for that on ourselves. The only way we can undo this coloring is to close access and reopen it. So I might just do that

right now so that for a few seconds, you may not be able to access the document, but I'll share again so that we remove the coloring here.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Thank you, Fabian. So let's move on then with the sections for which we already have text. We have already covered section IV, and there might be some edits there, of course, depending on many different things, including our meeting with the Board. So in the meantime, whoops, I have no more access.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX

That because I'm doing--

NICOLAS CABALLERO

All right. No problem.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX

Okay, so now you should be able to refresh.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Let me refresh the page. And here we go. All right. Apparently, I have access again. So let me get down to—Yeah, what's the section for which we have-- Is it section III? Two?

FABIEN BETREMIEUX

We can probably start with the GAC operational matters. So that's section III, subsection IV.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Okay. So let's get there. Internal matters, right? Oops, somebody selected the whole text again. Please be careful with that because otherwise it will take us more than two hours for a 90-minute session. Is that the section I should read, Fabian? All right. So I'll go ahead. Section III, internal matters. Please do not select text while I'm reading.

So number 1 says GAC membership. And let me make this a little bit bigger. There we go. I'll start right away from internal matters. Number 1, GAC membership. The GAC welcomed Monaco as a member and the African Parliamentary Network on Internet Governance, APNIG, as an observer. There are currently 184 GAC member states and 40 observer organizations. Number 2, GAC leadership. The GAC thanked its outgoing vice chairs, WANG Lang from China, Zeina Bou Harb from Lebanon, and Nigel Hickson, United Kingdom, for their valuable support and contribution to the GAC. By the way, a big round of applause for them.

The end of the ICANN82 meeting marks the start of a new term for the incoming GAC vice chairs as part of the GAC leadership team composed as follows. Nicolás Caballero, Paraguay chair, Ian Sheldon, Australia, Thiago Dal-Toe, Colombia, Christine Arida, Egypt, Marco Hogewoning, the Netherlands, and Jorge Cancio, Switzerland. Again, a big round of applause for them.

Number 3, GAC working groups. And it reads the GAC endorses the PSWG 2025-2026 work plan. And I'll stop here in order to see if I should go on, Fabien, because we have operational matters as well, right? In number 4, should I go ahead with that? So number 4 is operational. Let me stop in order to see if you have any comments or edits or anything you would like to modify. We're good so far? Okay. And I see nodding in the room, so let me move ahead.

Number 4, GAC operational matters. Pursuant to GAC operating principle 53, GAC members agreed on a proposal to proceed with a process to revise the GAC operating principles regarding the timing of annual committee leadership elections and the terms of the GAC chair and the GAC vice chairs. GAC members in attendance agreed to revise GAC operating principle 31 to adjust the committee's annual election cycle to conclude during the second meeting of the calendar year. This revision will enable leadership transitions to take place at the end of the ICANN annual general meeting when the ICANN Board and other ICANN communities also begin their leadership terms.

GAC members in attendance also agreed to revise a GAC operating principle 21 to adjust the term of the GAC chair to three consecutive terms of two years and the terms of the GAC vice chairs to two consecutive terms of two years. Consistent with the GAC operating principles, the revision proposals will now be subject to a 60-day consultation period. The committee plans to make a final decision about the proposed revisions during the GAC meeting at ICANN83 in Prague, Czech Republic. The GAC's operating principles

evolution working group will develop any needed transition procedures to affect the changes if they are ultimately approved.

And I'll stop here in order to see if we have any reactions, thoughts, comments, questions. Are we okay with the text? Any hard feelings? Any strong opinions against? And I see no hand online and no hand in the room, which means, if I'm not mistaken, that we're okay with the text as it is. So thank you again. Fabien, back to you.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX

Next section is capacity development. We also have text here that's ready.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Okay. So I'll go ahead. And for that, I'll ask my very good Shakespearean expert to my left to help me with the reading, if you don't mind, of course, Nigel.

NIGEL HICKSON

Not at all, Mr. Chairman. So 5 is capacity development. Consistent with the decision to focus GAC capacity development efforts on the next round of New gTLDs for the coming year, the GAC held three capacity development sessions during ICANN82 related to geographical gTLDs, to familiarize GAC members with the objectives and practice of these registries in preparation for expected applications of this nature in the next round of New gTLDs and the role governments may be called to play in the process.

ICANN's Next Round Champions Toolkit, with the aim to encourage its use by GAC members to help raise awareness about the Applicant Support Program for the next round of New gTLDs and a registrant's journey session conducted by the GNSO registrars stakeholder group, during which GAC members learned about the lifecycle of a domain registration and the various considerations, decisions and options a registrant may encounter in the registration process. The GAC thanks the GeoTLDs Group, as well as the ICANN org for their efforts in facilitating the capacity development process.

The GAC leadership and Underserved Regions Working Group co-chairs will assess the results from the post-session survey for future programming of this effort.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Thank you very much UK for the reading. Again, I'll pause here in order to see if there are any edits, any comments. Seeing none, let's move on. Fabien, back to you.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX

I believe this is it for substantive text. We can, if needed, go through the section II, which lists the various topics that are discussed with the various groups, ICANN Board and community groups. Or you could also decide to allocate the time for any drafting that needs to be finished.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Which would be very good indeed. So, that's a good idea. Unless you tell me otherwise, I would say we stop here and give time for the drafting teams to finish their work regarding the following sections. We'll stop like 25 minutes ahead of time in order to give you time to finish the text needed. Would you agree with that? Anybody against? The motion passes. So, thank you so much. Sorry, sorry, UK, go ahead.

NIGEL HICKSON

Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Sorry, I'm not going to oppose the motion, don't worry. No, I was just going to say that we had excellent discussions earlier in the week on New gTLDs. We've singled out at the moment two aspects, the Applicant Support Program, which of course is absolutely key and important and some text will be submitted there. Fees and refunds, we also discussed briefly in this group. But if there's any other aspect to the New gTLD program, which you think should go in issues of importance, please either have a word or suggest the text. Thank you.

NICOLAS CABALLERO

Thank you so much, UK. Any other comment or question? And I don't see any hand. So, let's take the time, let's take the 25 minutes left of this session for drafting the needed text at this point. And for the meeting with the ICANN Board, please try to be in the room five minutes before 3 p.m., 3 o'clock p.m., which is the starting time for

the meeting with the ICANN Board. Thank you so much and happy drafting.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]