ICANN75 | AGM – GAC Communique Drafting (3 of 5)
Wednesday, September 21, 2022 – 15:00 to 16:00 KUL

(Recording in progress)

GÜLTEN TEPE ÖKSÜZOGLU: Leaving the floor directly to Manal.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Gülten, and if you can please take your seats and to colleagues on-line apologies for the delay in starting. We were trying to finalize a few drafting parts, and actually, we were also thinking how next time we can include those on-line in any drafting exercise that is taking place on site, so I hope by Cancun we will have a better set up to include everyone.

So, we now have the text on WHOIS disclosure system. Let's -- I'm going to read it and then open the floor for comments and discussion.

The GAC welcomes the publication of ICANN org's design paper for the WHOIS disclosure system (the system) a single point of entry for fielding domain registration data requests and distributing those requests to registrars, and greatly appreciates

ICANN's staff's efforts on this work.

The system is a necessary first step towards building a more comprehensive solution as envisioned by EPDP Phase 2.

So let's take comments as we go, and I already see 2 comments here, one from Chris asking if we can replace welcomes by notes, and one from Jorge, I think, asking if -- yeah, asking if we can -- if it is really "necessary" or could we say just a "first step" or a "useful first step".

So first on welcomes, any objections or to changing welcomes by notes, any preferences?   So I'm seeing none, and regarding "necessary" -- to U.S., please go ahead.

UNITED STATES: Thank you, Chair. And Jorge, just to thank you for the question, and just to respond to it. I'm sure we would be fine with the word "useful", but I do think that -- and I would have course defer -- well, I think my colleague, Laureen might be in the middle of the night for her unfortunately, but I think the purpose of the pilot was to be able it test this as a proof of concept and then move onto the SSAD, and I think that the full SSAD as it were is meant to contain this, this very functionality so that's why we

used the word "necessary".

But, I welcome other views but I don't think we would take issue with changing it to the word "useful".

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: So any other comments? If not then thank you U.S. for the flexibility changing "necessary" by "useful".

EUROPEAN UNION: I'm sorry Manal, I have some issues, it's really difficult for me to raise my hand, apologies for this [inaudible]--

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: I'm sorry, can you speak closer to the microphone?

EUROPEAN COMMISSION: Absolutely. I just want you to note that I would concur with our U.S. colleague that actually given that we ourselves are still in the assessment of the document because of the fact that you know it was recently published before that the word necessary is probably a bit reflecting also the circumstances under which actually the paper was issued.

And, the only point is that I'm a little -- given that I need to apparently re-start my computer I have difficulty to see what was the initial wording that we have been discussing, but I don't have any issues with the word "necessary". Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you European Commission. So the initial wording was "necessary". Jorge was asking if it is really "necessary" or could we just say "a useful step". The U.S. showed flexibility but I --

EUROPEAN COMMISSION: Sorry, because, what I see highlighted is only "necessary", not "first". If it's "a useful step", I think that indeed it also reflects the reality and it's a good compromise between the notes proposed by Chris, and a "useful" -- that Jorge is proposing. So, then I agree with Susan and we can take out also "first". Apologies, now it's me. It's really the way it is now, Fabien, sorry if it's you on the screen. The system is a useful first step. Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you. So, "the system is a useful first step". Thank you, Jorge. U.S. and European Commission. Switzerland.

Then, proceeding -- it should facilitate the collection of useful

data in a quicker and more cost-effective manner, and ideally shed light on usage rates, timelines for response, and percentages of requests granted or denied. Such data would assist the ICANN Board with its considerations of the EPDP Phase 2, and allow work to continue towards effective and timely implementation of the PDP recommendations.

The GAC highlights the importance of engaging in education and outreach with potential requesters so that these requesters learn of the system's availability.   In line with the temporary specification for gTLD registration data, which requires both gTLD registries and registrars to provide reasonable access to personal data in registration data, the GAC invites ICANN to consider the participation in the system of registry operators, as well as exploring incentives for both registries and registrars to participate given that participation is voluntary.

Brian, please go ahead WIPO.

WIPO: Yes, hi colleagues, Brian Beckham here from WIPO. I wonder just on the last sentence here where it mentions that the participation is voluntary, whether it would be useful to somehow capture that the participation in the current draft is voluntary.

The reason I mention that is that I think there are ongoing discussions around whether there might be a movement on the Board organization side to make this a requirement given that that was a policy recommendation coming from the Phase 2, and we understood yesterday from Becky that because the Board hasn't acted on those recommendations, whereas the community has proposed those recommendations, there may be some room to still move towards a mandatory scheme. Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Brian. So, any objections to the proposal to add in the current draft? So given that participation in the current draft is voluntary. And seeing none. Fabien, please go ahead.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX: Yes, Manal, sorry to interrupt. I was just wondering if for readability purposes it could be -- it would be possible to separate some of the sentences in what we've read already? So, I don't know if that would be acceptable but I think maybe a break before the GAC highlights, and then a possible break as well when, I believe in line – the following sentence as well. So just a

suggestion for readability.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Fabien. Yes, please do insert breaks and this would help me also to see where exactly to stop and pause for comments. In fact, I was wondering where to pause for comments.

Yeah, so, if we can scroll down a bit. As currently designed, any communications beyond the request itself take place outside the system. Information about approvals or denials of requests, timing of the response, and reasons for denial would be logged at the election of the registrar. The GAC finds it very important to log this data in a proper manner as this will help to ensure the system is generating robust and useful data to inform future work.

The GAC also finds that even if a request relates to a registrar that chooses not to participate in the framework logging such information would also provide useful data. The framework should therefore include such functionality. Finally, the GAC stresses the importance of including a mechanism to allow for confidential law enforcement requests in line with the Phase 2 recommendations.

ICANN|75
KUALA LUMPUR

Any comments to this final paragraph? Yes, the Netherlands, please.

NETHERLANDS: Thank you Manal. This is Alisa Heaver, for the record.

On the last line, I am not sure what this confidentiality exactly entails if the -- if the party that would -- so if a law enforcement agency would put in a ticket, to what extent will ICANN be aware of the law enforcement agency putting in this ticket?

I'd be keen on knowing actually a little bit more about that, and I understand that we're drafting the Communique now and this is more of an informative question, but the fact is if ICANN would know which countries would hand in a ticket, they will basically know of each country which ticket -- which WHOIS queries they are running, and I'm, I'm wondering if that's something that everybody has really considered at the moment. Thanks.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Alisa. So, any reactions to this comment? So I see Canada. Please go ahead.

CANADA:	Thank you, Madam Chair. Charles Noir for Canada. Just to back up, my colleague from the Netherlands, I think this is a really key, key point that we need to be thinking about within the context of what's coming. Again, I would agree with my colleague that it is probably informative at this point.
	I don't know if we want to have anything in about learning more at some point, but the GAC itself certainly can and it could be interested in ensuring that, that law enforcement requests remain confidential and how the organization or the system does that is going to be important beyond a flow chart that shows that it will be encrypted.
	I think I had raised earlier as well one of the more detailed issues we are not dealing with now that we are going to have to think about, certainly within the context of legal actions is potentially defense or other attorneys seeking to understand when requests -- were made, by whom. Within what context? Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Canada, and the Netherlands. So is the proposal now to drop this last sentence for now? Or, or are you proposing some rewording? And then I have Chris afterwards.

NETHERLANDS: I was just about to say maybe Chris can enlighten us first.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you, then Chris, please go ahead.

CHRIS LEWIS-EVANS: Sorry, I am afraid I'm not -- I had a drop out on my connection so I didn't hear Alisa's full question. So I just wonder if it could be repeated so I might be able to answer and help out. Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: So please, the Netherlands, if you can repeat.

NETHERLANDSs: So basically my question was if a law enforcement agency would run a ticket via this system, to what extent will ICANN be aware of a law enforcement agency asking for a WHOIS query, and what the effect would be if ICANN has all this data in their possession,

yeah, I think that's it.

CHRIS LEWIS-EVANS: Yeah, okay. Perfect, thank you. And Chris Lewis-Evans for the record.

So yeah, just -- I think that's the reason for this last sentence is a concern, and is one that was raised within the Phase 2 recommendations, and I think it goes to previous entries about logging in a proper or an appropriate manner.

Obviously, with the way that the WHOIS requests are required to be formatted, the system will ask for an e-mail address to forward onto the registrar, and also a reason for the request, and generally when we send requests or law enforcement sends a request, it states it's for an investigation or a commercial trust issue or some other aspect.

So if everything was logged and certain aspects weren't kept confidential or weren't logged, then there could be a large amount of information that law enforcement agencies might not want to be stored. So it's a very important point that this is logged appropriately and the correct level of confidentiality is given to law enforcement requests to prevent law enforcement

agencies being unable to use the system. Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Chris. So the Netherlands is this okay or --

NETHERLANDS: This is Alisa Heaver of the Netherlands, for the record.

Well, one part isn't really still addressed and that's whether ICANN will be able to see of all the law enforcement agencies across the world that they have filed a request.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Yes, Chris, please go ahead.

CHRIS LEWIS-EVANS: Thank you. Chris Lewis-Evans, for the record. So dependent on the level of log in -- and obviously this isn't fully clear at the moment, and... I think we heard from the ICANN members that they have not thought about law enforcement requests properly yet or fully yet, but you know, if ICANN logged all of the e-mail addresses, for instance, you know a law enforcement request would have an appropriate law enforcement e-mail, so they

would be able to search for logs and then pull out all of those... so I suppose it depends on how the log-in works, and how they are able to search the log-in.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you, Chris. And thank you, Fabien, for the reference in the chat. Yeah, Canada, please go ahead.

CANADA: Yeah, Charles Noire, for the record. Thanks. This will be my last intervention. Sorry, colleagues. You know, I think it's not clear from what I'm understanding, a precise answer to the question from, from the -- from our colleague from the Netherlands.

Obviously I think we can think about in the design phase, and we can perhaps be involved or offer advice or whatnot can be sought. You know, there are ways to design systems so, you know, the request can be made, but are done in such a way they are encrypted and potentially not viewed by the organization itself. There is a number of options, I'm sure technically that could be available.

I think the important part for Canada anyways is to understand and to just flag -- I'm quite happy with this last sentence in its

broad view and the purpose for the Communique. What we would want to do is to ensure we follow up and think about this as it moves forward because it's going to be very important because that data itself about the request, by whom, to whom etcetera, even though it's going to be limited, is also potentially sensitive. Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you, Canada. So, so, I would like to seek clarification on the exact proposal now in terms of editing so that we can move on. Do we have a concrete proposal to address your concerns, the Netherlands and Canada? And then we can see if it we can find a compromised way forward. Please, Canada.

CANADA: Again not -- Charles, for the record. Not to slow anything down, like I said I'm happy with -- I think Canada is happy with the general objective, and what that sentence as is, is fine for us.

I'm just supporting the view that we need to understand this going forward, and ensure that governments are protected in this. Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Perfect. Thank you very much Canada, and very well noted. Okay, you took your hand down? Velimira, please go ahead.

EUROPEAN COMMISSION: I had taken it down because I understand that this is okay for our colleagues. What actually I wanted to propose that also on our site we discuss to see whether we have further clarifications for tomorrow, and this is the case. I'm happy to discuss with the colleagues who have raised the issue to see whether this can be further addressed so that we feel more comfortable with the wording, but otherwise we are also happy that it remains like this.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, European Commission. So I think we are good to move on.

Frankly, I'm trying to target it to be finalized today because tomorrow is very risky. We only have one hour so it may be a final reading or so, but I think it would be risky to leave any open text until tomorrow. The Netherlands, please go ahead.

NETHERLANDS: Thank you Manal. This is Alisa Heaver, for the record. I saw a proposal of Chris in the chat, and I think it's good to maybe add that in the text. But I can very much live with this sentence here, so it was just that I wanted to have something clarified. Thanks.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Netherlands. And Fabien, is this related to the proposal in the chat?

FABIEN BETREMIEUX: Not directly. I was just -- I wanted to request that we make sure whether the edits current issuing in this piece of text are okay with everyone so that we could just confirm them. And even if we may come back later to fix that at least we could confirm that all the various proposals in this text currently were accepted. So, it's not directly related but [inaudible].

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Fabien. And I'm just reading Chris in the chat, could we recommend that PSWG engages on this point? Or ICANN engages with PSWG on this point? So, thank you Chris, and so at least we now have a fall-back scenario. If we don't receive a consensus proposal then I think everyone is okay to live with this

text and thank you for the flexibility.

If we receive something that everyone agrees upon we can easily insert it, so please feel free to engage and keep us posted if there is something we can accommodate in the Communique.

So, to Fabien's point. Are we okay with accepting the text, and I think we're good? I see no requests for the floor Fabien, so I think we are good to accept the text and move on.

Now, on DNS Abuse, the GAC appreciates the efforts carried out to advance proposals to mitigate DNS Abuse and while looking into the many avenues available to combat this threat we highlight ICANN's technical role in finding solutions and look forward to the GNSO Council's small team final report on the subject, in order to discuss subsequent steps.

The GAC appreciates the ongoing efforts by ICANN and the community related to DNS Abuse, mitigating DNS Abuse continues to be an issue of concern for the GAC, and we emphasize the importance of building on the current work, which includes effectively reporting and responding to the DNS Abuse.

This topic is especially important given the ongoing activities related to a next round of new gTLDs. The GAC has previously

ICANN|75
KUALA LUMPUR

stated that DNS Abuse should be addressed in collaboration with the ICANN community and ICANN org prior to the launch of a second round of new gTLDs, and noted it's support for the development of proposed contract provisions applicable to all gTLDs to improve responses to DNS Abuse. And this is an extract from the GAC Communique ICANN70. The GAC has also recognized the potential role for targeted policy development processes to yield contract improvements at ICANN74.

The GAC canvassed the many activities taking place across the ICANN community to address DNS Abuse, including the draft DNS small team report to the GNSO Council, a forthcoming discussion paper from the contracted party's house on malicious versus compromised domains. A review of recent abuse reporting and highlight of voluntary initiatives on measurement -- can we please scroll down? On measurement and reporting.

One GAC member provided a presentation that focused on the problems of repeated registrant abuse in moving from domain name to domain name, and noted that a lack of clarity within registrar contracts makes it more difficult to ensure there is an effective response to such abuse. There was also a discussion about potential work across the community that could focus on developing improved contract provisions for ICANN's consideration, and the scope of DNS Abuse that may be

ICANN|75
KUALA LUMPUR

addressed within ICANN's remit.

So any comments, and I already see text highlighted. So, Fabien, if you can help us with any already existing comments in the Google doc?

FABIEN BETREMIEUX: There is a comment to replace canvassed in the second paragraph [inaudible] we recognize and welcome.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Okay.   And a suggestion to move reference in footnote for readability.

And I see European Commission, please. Velimira, go ahead.

EUROPEAN COMMISSION: I'm really sorry, Manal, that I'm taking the floor again. I think there was a misunderstanding among all of us who are drafting reflecting on this, because actually we have received some input from U.K. colleagues on this and my understanding was that we were in charge of commenting on the proposals of our colleagues from the U.S., and also inserting the one from U.K., so I would

need some time you know to compare what was sent by our U.K. colleagues and see how this fits together with what was not in the Google doc.

So in light of this I just wanted to inform you so that you can better see how we can further advance, but I will not be able to do it immediately now because I need to first have a look how it reads compared to all the inputs I received. Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Velimira. Then let's park this until the last session after the break. Did I see a hand up from Egypt?

EGYPT: Thank you. This is Abdulla ... for the record. I have small concern about DNS Abuse Mitigation. Let me have an example, first that one [inaudible] tried to have my bag, so I would let him -- so I will tell him that please wait until I call the police. What I want to say that we need to be proactive from mitigating such abuses, especially that most of the domain names that I tried here through ICANN meeting. When I try to WHOIS it, the domain names I find a [inaudible] a company tried to work as a mitigator for such abuse. Look for Facebook, for social media applications that have a large traffic for that. It is important to predict or

I C A N N | 7 5
KUALA LUMPUR

exhibit that is something, abuses my application before going to report to ICANN or whatever the system is. Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you, so any specific proposal in terms of text or just a comment?

EGYPT: Yeah, just a comment. We need to make it where edits, one line here that we need to react proactively rather than actively. I wanted to -- something to predict, some software to predit that is an abuse, automatically reported, and some mitigation will take it automatically. I don't want to report after the [inaudible] has been done.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you. I note your point, but we're trying to finalize the text here. I'm not sure if we will be able to accommodate, but again, we are parking this for the last session, so maybe we can take this in the break, and see whether we can accommodate it or not.

That said, if we can move down please, is there anything we have not read? So there is text on Internationalized Domain Names

ICANN|75
KUALA LUMPUR

and Universal Acceptance, and this reads: the GAC welcomes and encourages the further adoption of Universal Acceptance for the better use of Internationalized Domain Names, e-mail addresses, internationalizations and new generic top-level domains for accelerating the progress of on-line diversity.

In recognition of the importance of Universal Acceptance, the GAC circulated a request for the position of the Universal Acceptance steering group liaison, and chair of the IDN Universal Acceptance working group encouraging additional GAC members to joint Universal Acceptance activities.

Any comments on this part on Universal Acceptance? Yes, Nicholas please go ahead.

PARAGUAY: Thank you, madam chair. You just didn't see my hand up before but, on the chat room I'm in. Can we go back a little bit because I have some suggestions regarding the text. Can you scroll up a little bit, please?

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: So again, can you give us a second if we can finalize this and go up? I'm very sorry to overlook your hand. Sincere apologies.

I C A N N | 7 5
KUALA LUMPUR

OTHER SPEAKER: Sure, no problem. Please, go ahead.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: So, Nigel, is this on Internationalized Domain Names?

UNITED KINGDOM: Yes, thank you very much, Manal. Yes, I just wondered. -- I started to type something. Whether we could also recognize and welcome the Universal Acceptance day. You recall on the call we had on Universal Acceptance before the ICANN meeting it was recognized that this Universal Acceptance day, I think in February, I will look up the date -- you know what was important and you know so I think perhaps we could just reflect that in the text. Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, U.K. A good suggestion if you can help with a sentence to that respect it would be great. And I see Rob's hand up, please.

ROBERT HOGGARTH: Thank you Manal. Robert Hoggarth from GAC support staff. The way the second paragraph reads it suggests that the GAC has circulated the request during the meeting when we've actually, as staffs requested, volunteers prior to the meeting so I'm not sure if you want to reflect that, but at present it suggests that the inquiry went out during the meeting. Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Yeah, definitely, it was not during the meeting, so can you help us to fix the language?

ROBERT HOGGARTH: Thank you. I would suggest the GAC previously circulated or prior to ICANN75 the GAC circulated a request, and then at the end we can say welcomes and further response from GAC members.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Okay. So, with this minor fix, and with Nigel's proposal to add something welcoming the Universal Acceptance day that we were informed of during the GAC webinar, any other comments on this part?

Okay, seeing none. Then Paraguay, please again sincere

apologies to overlook your hand up and if we can scroll up. Which part is it, Nico?

PARAGUAY: Thank you, madam chair. The second paragraph I would suggest if it's okay with my distinguished colleague from the U.S., to erase the first sentence in the second paragraph, the part that says "the GAC appreciates the ongoing efforts by ICANN and the community related to DNS Abuse", and everything because it's been already mentioned in the first paragraph. That's -- if it's okay with the U.S. of course.

And right after that, and again if it's okay with my colleague from Japan, to erase the first part of the third paragraph. The part that reads "the GAC canvassed the many activities taking place across the ICANN community to address DNS Abuse including, including the draft -- small team report to the GNSO Council, forthcoming discussion paper from the contracted parties house, and malicious versus compromised domains, a review of recent abuse reporting and highlight of voluntary initiatives on measurement and reporting".

You know, sorry, for the sake of clarity I would -- if it's okay with my colleague from Japan, I would recommend erasing that part

as well. Thank you, madam chair.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Paraguay. So let's take them one at a time. Any objections to deleting the first sentence? I see no objection from the U.S. And it seems to be a repetition so, thank you.

Now Japan, any objections to the deleting the part highlighted -- oh, no. Any more highlighted? If we can highlight it. Yes, yes, Japan, please.

JAPAN: No objection for the proposed deletion, thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much. So if we can delete this part as well? Thank you with the understanding that we may receive comments later from European Commission on this part as well.

So, Rob or Fabien, anything in a we need to accomplish in the remaining 5 minutes?

ROBERT HOGGARTH: Thank you, Manal. I will defer to Fabien and Benedetta online about anything elsewhere in the document. Thank you.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX: We do have a new version of the Underserved Regions Working Group text and internal matters section.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Yes, and we have also the part of the digital -- I'm sorry, if you can scroll down. I forgot the title.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX: It's digital --

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Digital inclusion and Internet connectivity. So, let's go through this part first because the underserved region is merely reporting back. I hope it won't be controversial.

So, the text reads "In the follow-up of Capacity Building Sessions held on 17 and 18 September, 2022, the GAC took note of presented data and figures that indicate a high level of concentration of the global DNS industry in specific geographic

ICANN|75
KUALA LUMPUR

regions."

GAC members recognize the fact that the previous round of gTLDs had geographical concentration. Most notably as a reflection of digital divides and encouraged the ICANN Board and the community as a whole to explore new and additional actions with a view to contributing to bridge digital inequalities within and among countries to ensuring that all opportunities regarding the application for gTLDs are equally shared among all regions, as well as to promoting more balanced distribution of gTLD applications, registries and registrars among regions.

The GAC further noted remarks made by the ICANN Board chair, and the ICANN president, about existing gaps in global Internet access and connectivity. The GAC acknowledges addressing these gaps and bridging digital divides should stand as a priority for the broader internet community. Building on the experience gained with the unprecedented provision of financial support for Internet access in Ukraine, the GAC urges ICANN Board to elaborate amore comprehensive framework for the provision of financial, technical and capacity-building support to promote Internet access and connectivity in developing and underserved regions as well as in countries in particular situations of vulnerability or distress.

I C A N N | 7 5
KUALA LUMPUR

The GAC anticipated to ICANN Board its interest in getting closely involved in the early design of the next strategic planning cycle for the period 2026- 2030 as a more effective means of influencing the process in line with -- can we scroll? "In line with its main priorities."

So, any comments? And Rob, I'm assuming this is an old hand, right? Okay. Brazil, please, go ahead.

BRAZIL: Sorry, the last paragraph that we first -- the strategic planning, I'm not sure that's the best place to be. We put it there because it was a contribution, but it will down the [inaudible]. The very last paragraph. Because it's not actually about digital inclusion, internet connectivity.   It's more about the strategic planning. I don't know if it goes into operational issues or something like that. Doesn't matter. If itstays there it's fine. I don't know if it's the best --

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: So maybe, yeah, if I may propose -- maybe we can have a separate heading for this part like we did for Universal Acceptance, for example?

BRAZIL: Could be, of course.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Any objection to having a separate title for the interest of the GAC in the strategic planning of --?

FABIEN BETREMIEUX: When we mentioned the meeting with the Board, there's no detail about what was discussed, right, because it refers to -- yeah, yeah, yeah.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: So, in the bilateral with the Board we mentioned only the agenda, but the transcripts of this specific meeting will be attached to the Communique.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX: No problem in leaving it where it is, it's just for structuring, a better structure of the text but it's fine if it's to stay there. No problem at all.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Okay, so I will read it more closely and see if we need a separate heading, and thank you for the flexibility. I need to check during the break but I think we have read -- we have done at least one reading of anything in the Communique and we are just expecting feedback on the DNS Abuse part from European Commission. We will have a 30-minute break, and please be back at half past. Thank you.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]

ICANN|75
KUALA LUMPUR

ICANN|75
KUALA LUMPUR