
ICANN70 | Virtual Community Forum – GAC Meeting with the ALAC
Tuesday, March 23, 2021 – 16:30 to 17:30 EST

GULTEN TEPE:

The session will now begin. May I ask the tech team to start the recording, please? Welcome to the ICANN70, GAC meeting with the ALAC held Tuesday 23rd of March. We will not be doing a roll call for the sake of time, but attendance will be available in the annex of the communique and minutes. May I remind GAC representatives in the attendance to indicate their presence by updating their participant's name to reflect their full name and affiliation. If you would like to ask a question or make a comment please type it by starting and ending your sentence with question, or comment to allow all participants to see your request. Interpretation for GAC sessions include all 6 U.N. language and Portuguese.

Participants can select the language they wish to speak or listen to by clicking on the interpretation icon located on the Zoom tool bar. Your microphone will be muted for the duration of the session unless you get into the queue to speak. If you wish to speak please raise your hand in the Zoom room. When speaking please state your name for the record and the language you will speak if speaking a language other than English.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

Please speak clearly and at a reasonable pace to allow for accurate interpretation. And also make sure to mute all your other devices. Finally, the session like all other ICANN activities is governed by the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior. You will find the link in the chat for your reference. With that, I would like to leave the floor to GAC chair, Manal Ismail. Over to you Manal.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Gulden, and good morning good afternoon, and good evening everyone and welcome to the GAC ALAC bilateral at ICANN70. This meeting is scheduled for an hour, and I would like to start by welcoming Maureen Hilyard and all ALAC colleagues in the GAC Zoom room and to thank Yrjo Lansipuro and the ... and Shi Young GAC point of contact and also representative of Korea for their coordination to prepare for this meeting and compile its agenda.

As you can see on the screen, we have 2 main topics of mutual interest, EPDP and SubPro but we will also touch on a few points regarding ATRT but before getting started I would like first to ask Maureen if Maureen if you would like to make any opening remarks before we start.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Sorry, sorry, Manal. I'm just trying to get my video to work. It won't work for me now just when I need it happen, but I just want to say it's always a pleasure for ALAC to share time with the GAC and continue our conversations regarding matters of common interest, so you know, I -- just keeping it short because I know we have a full agenda and just an hour but I'll -- very gladly pass the lead of our conversation from the at large side to Yrjo Lansipuro, our liaison. Thank you very much.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you, Maureen. Okay. We have 3 items on the agenda. As Manal already noted there are 2 items which have been quite often, almost always on our agenda, EPDP and SubPro, subsequent procedures for new gTLDs in the future.

And on both our advisory committees have found work of common ground and developed excellent co-operation. And then the third item is a sort of new for these meetings. It's ATRT3 by presentation by Sebastien, but now without further ado let's go to the EPDP. And I would like to ask Alan to take the floor, please.

ALAN GREENBERG:

Thank you very much, Yrjo. A couple of comments just brief ones. I believe I had my first discussion with a GAC member ten, 11 years ago about saying hey we should work together. We have common things that we believe in. Didn't really happen but we had those discussions a number of times.

Over my 4 years as ALAC chair, I worked quite hard, we put Yrjo in place as a liaison and started working occasionally with the GAC on a couple of statements and things like that. However, the co-operation and working together that we have on the EPDP now is honestly in my wildest dreams I never thought it would come to this.

The GAC has a marvelous, both active and vocal team on the EPDP, and we are pretty much 100% in SYNC on most of the important subjects, so I'm just delighted about how well the 2 groups are working together, and I'm so delighted how much work the GAC people are putting in because it takes a lot of measure off us.

I'm not going to talk a lot about substance but I you no I think

things are working very, very well. I know the GAC has had some briefings on the EPDP already and I think they are pretty much 100% in line with the kind of things we are talking about within ALAC so I'm not going to go not a lot of substance. We are short on time. But I know the GAC people may have something they want to bring up, but I -- it almost becomes boring when we are so linked together.

So -- I thank you very much for all the work you're putting into it. And I don't know Hadia I think has a few words but maybe we want to hear from the GAC lead people on the EPDP also

YJRO LANSIPURO: Yes, who would like to take the floor from the GAC side? Laureen.

LAUREEN KAPIN: Hi, folks, thank you for this opportunity, as Alan said, we're very much in SYNC with our ALAC colleagues and we have been I think working together better than ever recently because we actually have formal regular meetings set up to discuss areas of mutual concern regarding the EPDP Phase 2A topics, and sharing strategies and approaches to try and achieve our common goals.

So I think that -- welcome. I [indiscernible] we are north not tough participation of our European colleagues who also bring their added expertise on European privacy law and the GDPR in particular. I think our contributions and credibility has really been enhanced by their participation as well.

That said we do have our challenges, but we've also really been listening to our contracted party colleagues and their concerns and have really tried to adjust our approaches to take into account some of those concerns, though our work is cut out for us I'm still mildly optimistic that we can achieve some common ground and come up with some policy recommendations that take us to a better place than where we are now.

SPEAKER: I think Hadia wanted to say something.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Yeah, Hadia, please.

HADIA EL MINIAWI:

[indiscernible] not sure if I should go now or off the GAC people finish but I would have course thank our GAC colleagues for their very active participation, and our very fruitful co-operation. I think what we are trying to do now is to solve for the contracted parties' concerns.

We are trying to take this forward from, I think one of the most important elements here is to try to push forth having at least a flag that distinguishes between the data, between the registrant's types, like a flag that says at the top of the registrant as a legal natural, or undetermined, even if we are not going to make any kind of action based on this distinction, but at least flagging the registrant type is very important.

Contracted parties also were talking about flagging the data itself, about saying whether it includes personal information or not. That's important as well. But the most important thing would be at this point it would be actually flagging the registrant item. Another thing also I wanted to quickly point out too is that if the EPDP... deemed to be, not reaching consensus, and in case EPDP Phase 2A is going to be terminated in may -- of course I don't think that will happen but just in case -- this termination should be accompanied by a report that summarizes the work of the

group throughout the several months that they were actually working, and the report also should summarize the position of each stakeholder group in relation to the topics that were addressed [inaudible] to have uniform anonymized addresses.

Having said that I'm sure at this point that we are going to reach some kind of consensus, and at a minimum some guidelines would be possible. I stop here, and give you back the floor, Yrjo.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you, Hadia. Any comments from the GAC side? Manal, please.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Yrjo, Alan and Hadia and Laureen, of course as well. Thank you all, and to both points you raised Alan you were talking about the co-operation between the GAC and the ALAC, and I'm also thrilled to see how closely we are working to the and we are not only having leadership calls inter-sessionally but also, we are having inter-sessional calls on substance, on EPDP and SubPro in specific and we've been working closely throughout.

The so the point Hadia raised, it has been a topic of discussion among the GAC whether the Phase 2A will continue or not, so it's -- it's -- it has been a worry for the GAC as well. We support of course its continuation, and remains to be seen tomorrow I believe after the council's meeting where Keith will be presenting on the progress of the group, but I see Alan's hand up, so Yrjo would you like to --

YJRO LANSIPURO: Alan, please.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you, Manal. Yeah, thank you. As Manal mentioned, there's heavy push among the contracted parties right now to come up with guidelines or best practices, and not consensus policy, not things that they are required to do, and I -- you know they are putting this as you know let's first decide on guidelines and then maybe we will get something as a policy.

The PDP is put in place not to write non-mandatory guidelines but to policy, and I'm a bit concerned we are going to spend all of our time talking about guidelines and then essentially run out of time and not come to any consensus on a formal policy, so I'm

not -- you know as I said, as Laureen I remain cautiously optimistic but I am somewhat worried that time will run out, and although we will have done a lot of good talking we will end up with nothing new in the policy, and you know, it --

I'm hoping we don't conclude just prior to the European Parliament, and council making a decision, on NIS-2 because that would be rather unfortunate. At this point things are still in flux don't I know how NIS2 is going to come out, but the timing is going to be close and I'm hoping we don't have to convene an EPDP again to deal with the things we are ignoring this time around.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you Alan. Laureen please.

LAUREEN KAPIN: I just want to emphasize the GAC is in full accord with the desire for requirements to come out of this policy development process rather than just aspirational goals. And then we fully value those who elect to go above and beyond what contracts require and those who engage in best practices those are a model to the whole community.

Regrettably, the bad actors are much more incentivized through requirements that can be enforced with consequences, and that is our goal for these.

YJRO LANSIPURO:

Thank you, Laureen. Any more on, on this -- in the chat there's plus one Laureen from Hadia. But any -- anybody else wants to take the floor on this subject? If not, we can go to, we go to number 3 SubPro, and perhaps Justin would like to take the floor.

JUSTINE CHEW:

Thank you, Yrjo. This is Justine. I hope I can be heard. Okay. Thank you, thank you for acknowledging Manal.

Firstly, I would also like to start by echoing the comments Alan has made in terms of co-operation between the ALAC and GAC. I think I have had had excellent interactions with my counterparts in GAC in respect of subsequent procedures and I like to thank them for being responsive and being very encouraging as well in terms of our interactions. I also won't speak to much substance.

I think I personally believe that more time should be given to the

new issue, but I'll just mention that in terms of the ALAC statement that has gone into the final report, contains 8 aspects that we commented on I believe that the GAC has been studying that, and I have also been following the GAC sessions on subsequent procedures or the discussions on subsequent procedures and I'm happy to note that some offer the ALAC comments or ALAC points that have been made in the ALAC statement are being considered by GAC so in terms of moving forward, the ALAC is probably going to issue advice on subsequent procedures.

That is a way that -- or that is the direction that we are headed at the moment. In terms of the content of the ALAC advice, the discussions being had at large [indiscernible] working group or WPWG have more or less you know -- it's at the mature stage at least, and we are more than ready to prepare an ALAC advice but in terms of content where it would defer from the ALAC statement that's already gone into the SubPro final report, is four additional aspects and you know I believe my counterparts are well aware of this so I believe providing briefs to keep them updated on what's happening on the ALAC side.

But, in terms of the additional 4 aspects that's going to the ALAC

advice would be mentioned on the need to review and have program new gTLD program objectives, and metrics, in order to establish you know what, what actually are the objectives and are they being met, and how are they being met?

The second one would be a recommendation on the CCT recommendations which is to say that you know we still believe even though some of them have been sufficiently addressed or some of them are still lacking we do believe that the high priority and the prerequisite, recommendations need to be implemented before the in next round of applications are launched. The third one is mentioned on universal acceptance.

This one came back up because -- or you know, the CPWG thinks that universal acceptance being more under the jurisdiction or domain of ICANN as opposed to subsequent procedures PDP working group, we thought that somebody, a mention of our insistence on how universal acceptance needs to be pushed forward.

This piece of advice needs to go to the ICANN Board so that instructions -- or directions would be given to ICANN org to better support the universal acceptance steering group's work in the

universal -- in this initiative and, of course, at large is doing some supplementary things as well to help promote -- and the last aspect that is being added into the ALAC advice would be on name conditions.

That something that the ALAC is closely monitoring as well through the name commissions [indiscernible] and that is something that the Board has actually commissioned for -- to happen under the spearheading of ASAC. So we think that the outcomes that have and [indiscernible] and if 3 happens and also study 3, that recommendations out of those be implemented also prior to the next round or at least somehow incorporated into the application of processing processes.

If the studies were to completed before they can implement before the next rounds happens meaning to say that you know any recommendations coming out of the NCAP studies have to be accounted for and maybe applied retrospectively you know if they are not completed prior to the next round happening.

Okay, and in terms of DNS abuse, I noted that is a topic that has been always on the at large agenda and it has been mentioned in the ALAC statement, we note that there are certainly very interesting and vibrant conversations going on about DNS abuse

now so it is quite clear that the committee is very, very keen on pushing this forward so even though we did say that you know -- and we still are going to say that the of a fact that SubPro, PDP working group didn't make any strong recommendations on improving DNS abuse mitigation that is a lost opportunity as far as a concern because we see the new [indiscernible] being a carrot to bring the parties to improve on their, you know obligations for fighting or combating or mitigating the DNS abuse.

We are certainly going to be looking to supplement our comments on DNS abuse a little more with new information that's coming in through discussions that's happening in ICANN70 and elsewhere. And in terms of moving forward, well we're certainly looking forward to examining any GAC communique or GAC advice with respect to subsequent procedures and see if there's anything that we might want to supplement the ALAC statement with coming out of GAC advice or GAC communique.

And lastly, I would like to say that although we are reaching at the stage of where ALAC advice or perhaps GAC advice as well is going to be issued, I don't believe that that is the end of the clan radiation or the opportunity for co-operation between ALAC and GAC. There's still going to phases such as the operation of and

design phase. Then the implementation phase.

That -- those are still areas where I think ALAC and GAC have a role to play. To ensure that the implementation for the -- you know the recommendations that's being proposed or being adopted by the [indiscernible] that those be actually implemented with monitoring by the advisory committees so that they get them right. Thank you

YJRO LANSIPURO:

Thank you, Justine. Jorge, please.

JORGE CANCIO:

Thank you. Thank you, Yrjo, and hello everyone. I'll try to be brief. This is Jorge Cancio from Switzerland together with Luisa Paez from Canada, and vice GAC chair that Luisa is. Just before passing the floor to Luisa, I wanted to thank very much Justine, Yrjo, Maureen, the whole ALAC team.

It's very good collaboration we are having on subsequent procedures. I think that the January 20th call we had specifically on subsequent procedure was exceedingly productive. We circulated the minutes or the notes of the meeting to the whole

GAC and we've been sharing also the information you are passing to us with GAC members, so I think that regarding the briefing, we received very brief -- very recently from Justine on the latest thinking from ALAC we are still analyzing it.

But of course at first sight there's a lot of overlap in views of possible synergies there. And regarding our positions, Luisa will give you an overview of what is the work in progress, so I'll -- I won't go into the details and pass over the floor directly to Luisa. Thank you.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you, Jorge. Luisa, please.

LUISA PAEZ:

Thank you Jorge. And hello everyone. can you hear me well? Okay, thank you for the nod. This is Luisa Paez for the record. The Canadian representative vice chair and this topic lead on subsequent procedures with Jorge Cancio and as I mentioned in the chat and echoing Jorge's comments to date it's been a real pleasure collaborating inter-sessionally with the ALAC and different exchanges via e-mail as well as bilateral calls, and we have benefited tremendously from the really excellent work and brief from ALAC, and in particular the most recent ALAC brief to

the GAC, which is -- which very concisely summarizes ALAC as position. So a big thank you.

In regards to what has happened this first 2 days within the GAC session so total we are going to have 3 subsequent procedures sessions. To date we've had 2. And so just for the benefit of everyone and we wanted to give -- provide a quick summary in regards to the exchanges we've had. Of course I will only be summarizing a few of the main points. To date we have discussed clarity on predictability of the application process, we've also discussed public interest commitment PICs.

Applicants support on participation of underserved regions as well as closed generics, and finally GAC early warnings and GAC advice. When it comes to predictability, we know that some GAC members shared concerns relative to the implementation of the SPIRT, and the -- at the potential Laded layer it may create regarding that consensus advice. And public interest commitments, PICs, GAC members or any future PICs must be enforced through clear contractual obligations and consequences for the failure to meet those obligations should be specified.

GAC members also noted the lack of policy regions and DNS abuse mitigation. Remains very high priority issues. And lastly, some GAC members noted support for the proposed suspension of closed generics. To hold the applications until policy recommendations and or a framework under the recommendation of closed generics which serves a public interest is developed and this is aligned as per the at large minority statement.

So to date those have been in summary, the main points the GAC has discussed, and [indiscernible] we do thank as well your participation in today's session in clarifying ALAC as position. We also welcome right now any GAC members if you would like to specify in particular any point I missed or perhaps further clarify this would be a great opportunity, so I'll stop there, and see perhaps as well if Jorge you would like to add anything.

Manal or Justine, or again any GAC member welcome any comments in regards to the discussions that is have taken place within the GAC, but as I mentioned in particular there is a lot of align in the regards to closed general risk and the importance of DNS abuse. The [indiscernible] recommendations. The enforceability of PICs. So I'll just stop there and give others

opportunity to make any interventions or questions. Thank you.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you. Meanwhile I gave the floor to Alan and waiting for either GAC members to take but now Alan, please.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you very much. Luisa mentioned PICs and enforceability and now the renamed registry voluntary commitments there's going to be a session, a session on Thursday on RVC, the registry voluntary commitments and enforceability that you might find interesting. The that is -- this is one area that we may be ending up changing our advice slightly because the -- as -- over the last few week it's become obvious that there are very different impressions on what is enforceable and not.

The Board has expressed some concern that the mission statement limits what ICANN can do, and that may limit enforceability. But certainly from my point of view a careful reading of the bylaws says that ICANN cannot impose its views of content, and we cannot regulate but it doesn't say that we cannot do things regarding the content if we are not putting our views in place.

So I think we can make a good case that the RVC's and PICs are enforceable upper body the bylaws and do not violate the mission, but I think it's going to take a little bit after effort to make sure that everybody is on the same page. So just something to watch for. Thank you.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you, Alan. Any more comments from the GAC side? Jorge, please.

JORGE CANCIO: Thank you, Yrjo. Perhaps -- Jorge Cancio for the record. Perhaps more a procedural question, and just to see what is your thinking on when the situation will be ripe for an advice, be it from ALAC or be it from the GAC, and just to executive plain we know the report from the GNSO will be reach being the Board but then they will trigger an operational design phase, so I'm a bit wondering whether ICANN70 is already the time for doing that, or whether it's more inter-sessional, or even in ICANN 71.

So I think they are not mutually exclusive options, but just to know a little bit what's your thinking, when are you intending to

issue ALAC advice? Thank you.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you, Jorge. Who wants to answer from -- Alan, please.

ALAN GREENBERG: Yeah, thank you. Our intent was to do it within the comment period that the Board is open for which means by the end of this month. But you're right. The timing is a lot more flexible and if we have some reason for delaying, I suppose we can.

On the other hand, our intent was that our advice as advice is largely based on our minority statement, and as such it's close to written, and at some level getting it out and having it done with has some merit. The world may change over the next 6 months as the Board deliberates and that may warrant a further comment after that. I can't imagine we would be withdrawing any of our current comments.

It's conceivable we could change them. So my personal inclination is get it done with, but if we see some reason why we can't make the end of March, deadline then the world won't end because the Board certainly isn't in a position to make a decision

yet, but my inclination is to do it sooner rather than later.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you, Alan. Jorge, your hand is up please?

JORGE CANCIO: It's an old hand. Sorry thank you.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Okay. And in the chat, Justine is saying that yes, the ALAC are intending to issue advice to the Board are more to say than the ALAC minority statement. Any, any other -- anybody else wants to take the floor on this question? If not, I thank all of you, and, and we go to the next item, which is ATRT3, and I ask Sebastien to give his presentation, please.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: That's not the last version. You need to take the -- another one because there is a disclaimers it was done by ALAC and not at all by GAC for its -- it was important but now that I have saved it -- I guess it's okay even if it's a logo with GAC it was because of the meeting here. I will try I to talk about quickly final

recommendation from ATRT3 and to give a small view or quick view of what is a Board action regarding those regions and if you have any comments or questions.

Next slide please. The next slides it says a list of the recommendation final recommendation from at ATRT3. We have 5 as you can see organized to -- at the ICANN org Board eventually something to do and the level of [inaudible] as I will go through all of them and will not read them here.

Next slide please. The first one it's assessment of the specific and organizational review. I will try to get little more detail here. The first part it's about RDS, CCT, SSR, and ATRT reviews the Board approved subject to, as you will see it's always subject to prioritization and also community agreements to the bylaw changes. And if the empowered community rejects the bylaw changes then further ICANN community discussion would be required before implementation. I feel -- okay, no I will give me comment at the end.

Next slide, please. The other part of this recommendation it's regarding the still specific reviews, and it's about the [indiscernible] review. It's a new proposal from ATRT3, and the

goal is to have an overview of the ICANN ones a last holistic review was done in 2002, ATRT3 where is it was a good time to do it. Board approved subject to prioritization. More information required to understand what ATRT3 wanted to think about, and, and they ask how to operationalize the review and take into account other... including specific and organizational review, and WorkStream.

Therefore [indiscernible] Board to initiate the first holistic review it's an important word, as a pilot, and they have concerned with the time-line. They say that the proposal from ATRT3 to be done before the end of the 12 months after the first action. It's too short.

And about bylaw amendment to this review, will be done only after the first holistic review has conclude. In fact, I can say after the pilot of the holistic review has conclude. And discussing [indiscernible] of the review pilot is assessed by the committee. I can't say next slide because we don't have slides but -- it may be coming back. I put -- a time-line here now, and on the top part you have.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Did we lose Sebastien or is it just me?

YJRO LANSIPURO: I can see him on the screen, but the image is frozen, so -- is there any way to bring him back?

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Yeah.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: It seems my Internet is unstable. I don't know why. It's the middle of the night here in France, but it seems to be. Okay, let's go to the slide number 6 please, and here it's about organizational reviews.

It was approved by the Board subject to prioritization and here also more information required. Board direct ICANN org to initiate the development after project plan to implement a pilot continuous improvement program in alignment with ATRT3 intent and also the view of ICANN structure. And the bylaw will be depending on the... assessment. Next slide please.

I will go very quickly to the next one it's the other recommendation, and all of them. This one it's approved full stop. Next slide. There are approved subject to prioritization, I guess all of them. Therefore there is not so much things to discuss or at least we don't have time to discuss everything I would like to concentrate on the part about holistic review and organizational review.

Just to show that everyone or -- approved subject to prioritization. Next slide. This one about ATRT2 also and next slide -- I guess it's the last one. Yeah. Thank you.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you, Sebastien. Any comments, Manal? You want to comment on this?

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Yrjo, and thank you very much Sebastien for the presentation, and for sharing what was the Board views on ATRT as well and you this went on. So the GAC was actively involved in the community assessment and feedback effort reporting ATRT, but frankly we were focusing mainly on parts that are relevant to the GAC, as the review originally targeted GAC

operations.

As you may know we don't have organizational reviews for the GAC, so we rely mainly on the ATRT reviews where we receive recommendations on how we can do better. This time ATRT3 reported only on a few suggestions, and not recommendations for the GAC to improve its operations and we usually take those suggestions or recommendations and work them either through the GAC or in co-operation with the Board if it is a GAC Board matter.

Through the Board GAC interaction group the BGIG so we embrace the number of suggestions particularly as I said as they pertain to the committee's relationship with the Board. And we normally view implementation of the ATRT recommendations as part of a more comprehensive effort to update and evolve GAC operations, as influenced by many things including Work Stream 2 recommendations, and also more generally the evolution of ICANN multistakeholder model.

So again, in short, we haven't really focused on the more general community. -- the views in community prioritization on other matters but we are very much interested to hear what

considerations the ALAC community is currently giving to those recommendations.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you, Sebastien, please.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Yeah, if I may, I think it's what you describe it's what has happened until now. I guess the suggestion if not a recommendation, but -- of ATRT3 is that the GAC already we're working on the implementing or evolving the work of the GAC since, internally I will say without asking... for other organizations. And, in fact, you are already doing continuous improvement.

It's why I feel that we consider that GAC could be taken into account as another AC with the continuous improvement, and that ATRT and the holistic review will take into account that -- it will allow you to improve as for the other in the way you wish to do it, but [indiscernible] what is done for other. It's why I think it's important that you, you review the recommendation about organizational review, and the holistic review. Thank you.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Any comments for this? Manal please?

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much, Yrjo and Sebastien, and thank you for bringing this to our attention, and we took note of it, and we will look into it, and I hope it becomes a third topic where we can work closely with the ALAC as well, and co-ordinate and co-operate. So thank you Sebastien for reaching out.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you. Any more comments on this topic? If not, we can go to all -- any other business? If there is any other business? If not, I just from my part I just thank Manal, and Laureen, Luisa, Jorge, and also Shi Young who is the GAC liaison to ALAC, and we have together prepared this meeting, and now perhaps I am closing, so closing words from if Manal, and Maureen. Manal please.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: So to thank you very much again Yrjo, and Shi Young, and thanks to Maureen, Alan, Hadia, Justine, Sebastien and everyone. I don't want to miss anyone.

And thanks to all ALAC members who joined us today for our informative exchange, and interesting presentation, and thanks to our topic leads as well from the GAC side, so Laureen, and Louisa and Jorge, and everyone for the active engagement today. So -- over to you Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you Manal. And I'd like to -- and thank you for the 2 policy team, for this and the earlier one which was equally enjoyable. I just wanted to add what I -- you know what's been raised [inaudible] meeting and that's I think we've got a really great[indiscernible] here and it's an honest attempt to work together and to breakdown the silos within ICANN. Hadia was actually discussing earlier [indiscernible] about reimagining how we might use the multistakeholder model so reshape how ICANN works and I think we are you know this has been a really -- this is a really good start

But I know that my, that my team very much looks forward to our continued collaboration long may it reign but thank you so much. Yeah, it's been a great session, and I -- you know I think we'll continue to do so. Thanks.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you very much Maureen.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Than you all.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Yeah, looking forward to our continued co-operation, and if I may just quickly to my GAC colleagues, we will be reconvening tomorrow at 900 Cancun time. 1400UTC but be reminded GAC leadership or management team as we are trying to call it now, as suggested yesterday, we will make -- we will be available from 12:45 for 30 minutes for those challenged by the meeting time zone and would like to catch up with any of the sessions they missed today. So this concludes our bilateral with ALAC colleagues. Everyone stays safe and have a good rest of the day. Thank you.

YJRO LANSIPURO: Thank you. Bye-bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]