


Understanding ccTLD delegation and transfer

Kim Davies
VP, IANA Services; President, PTI

June 2024

PTI | An ICANN Affiliate




What are ccTLDs?

- We typically divide top-level domains into two broad categories:
 - **Generic Top-Level Domains (gTLDs)**
 - Global purpose
 - ICANN policy making and oversight
 - **Country-code Top-Level Domains (ccTLDs)**
 - Country-level purpose
 - Local policy making and oversight (within country)
 - Automatic qualification/disqualification
- Policy that applies at the global level is devised within two respective ICANN supporting organizations: the GNSO and ccNSO respectively.
- The enduring principles that define a ccTLD are contained in a seminal document “RFC 1591”, published in 1994 by Jon Postel

Country-code Top-Level Domains

- As the name implies, derived not from countries but from **country codes**
 - Country codes are specified by the international standard ISO 3166-1
 - This standard is used for many applications, not just ccTLDs (e.g. passports, currency, postal mail, even language subtags discussed earlier)
 - It provides alphabetical and numerical codings for countries and territories, ccTLDs only use one kind of coding — alpha-2 (two letters)
 - It is both the arbiter of
 - (a) what country/territory is eligible, and
 - (b) what their code should be, based on the notion such decisions shouldn't be done by IANA.



ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 codes

AA	BA	CA	DA	EA	FA	GA	HA	IA	JA	KA	LA	MA	NA	OA	PA	QA	RA	SA	TA	UA	VA	WA	XA	YA	ZA
AB	BB	CB	DB	EB	FB	GB	HB	IB	JB	KB	LB	MB	NB	OB	PB	QB	RB	SB	TB	UB	VB	WB	XB	YB	ZB
AC	BC	CC	DC	EC	FC	GC	HC	IC	JC	KC	LC	MC	NC	OC	PC	QC	RC	SC	TC	UC	VC	WC	XC	YC	ZC
AD	BD	CD	DD	ED	FD	GD	HD	ID	JD	KD	LD	MD	ND	OD	PD	QD	RD	SD	TD	UD	VD	WD	XD	YD	ZD
AE	BE	CE	DE	EE	FE	GE	HE	IE	JE	KE	LE	ME	NE	OE	PE	QE	RE	SE	TE	UE	VE	WE	XE	YE	ZE
AF	BF	CF	DF	EF	FF	GF	HF	IF	JF	KF	LF	MF	NF	OF	PF	QF	RF	SF	TF	UF	VF	WF	XF	YF	ZF
AG	BG	CG	DG	EG	FG	GG	HG	IG	JG	KG	LG	MG	NG	OG	PG	QG	RG	SG	TG	UG	VG	WG	XG	YG	ZG
AH	BH	CH	DH	EH	FH	GH	HH	IH	JH	KH	LH	MH	NH	OH	PH	QH	RH	SH	TH	UH	VH	WH	XH	YH	ZH
AI	BI	CI	DI	EI	FI	GI	HI	II	JI	KI	LI	MI	NI	OI	PI	QI	RI	SI	TI	UI	VI	WI	XI	YI	ZI
AJ	BJ	CJ	DJ	EJ	FJ	GJ	HJ	IJ	JJ	KJ	LJ	MJ	NJ	OJ	PJ	QJ	RJ	SJ	TJ	UJ	VJ	WJ	XJ	YJ	ZJ
AK	BK	CK	DK	EK	FK	GK	HK	IK	JK	KK	LK	MK	NK	OK	PK	QK	RK	SK	TK	UK	VK	WK	XK	YK	ZK
AL	BL	CL	DL	EL	FL	GL	HL	IL	JL	KL	LL	ML	NL	OL	PL	QL	RL	SL	TL	UL	VL	WL	XL	YL	ZL
AM	BM	CM	DM	EM	FM	GM	HM	IM	JM	KM	LM	MM	NM	OM	PM	QM	RM	SM	TM	UM	VM	WM	XM	YM	ZM
AN	BN	CN	DN	EN	FN	GN	HN	IN	JN	KN	LN	MN	NN	ON	PN	QN	RN	SN	TN	UN	VN	WN	XN	YN	ZN
AO	BO	CO	DO	EO	FO	GO	HO	IO	JO	KO	LO	MO	NO	OO	PO	QO	RO	SO	TO	UO	VO	WO	XO	YO	ZO
AP	BP	CP	DP	EP	FP	GP	HP	IP	JP	KP	LP	MP	NP	OP	PP	QP	RP	SP	TP	UP	VP	WP	XP	YP	ZP
AQ	BQ	CQ	DQ	EQ	FQ	GQ	HQ	IQ	JQ	KQ	LQ	MQ	NQ	OQ	PQ	QQ	RQ	SQ	TQ	UQ	VQ	WQ	XQ	YQ	ZQ
AR	BR	CR	DR	ER	FR	GR	HR	IR	JR	KR	LR	MR	NR	OR	PR	QR	RR	SR	TR	UR	VR	WR	XR	YR	ZR
AS	BS	CS	DS	ES	FS	GS	HS	IS	JS	KS	LS	MS	NS	OS	PS	QS	RS	SS	TS	US	VS	WS	XS	YS	ZS
AT	BT	CT	DT	ET	FT	GT	HT	IT	JT	KT	LT	MT	NT	OT	PT	QT	RT	ST	TT	UT	VT	WT	XT	YT	ZT
AU	BU	CU	DU	EU	FU	GU	HU	IU	JU	KU	LU	MU	NU	OU	PU	QU	RU	SU	TU	UU	VU	WU	XU	YU	ZU
AV	BV	CV	DV	EV	FV	GV	HV	IV	JV	KV	LV	MV	NV	OV	PV	QV	RV	SV	TV	UV	VV	WV	XV	YV	ZV
AW	BW	CW	DW	EW	FW	GW	HW	IW	JW	KW	LW	MW	NW	OW	PW	QW	RW	SW	TW	UW	VW	WW	XW	YW	ZW
AX	BX	CX	DX	EX	FX	GX	HX	IX	JX	KX	LX	MX	NX	OX	PX	QX	RX	SX	TX	UX	VX	WX	XX	YX	ZX
AY	BY	CY	DY	EY	FY	GY	HY	IY	JY	KY	LY	MY	NY	OY	PY	QY	RY	SY	TY	UY	VY	WY	XY	YY	ZY
AZ	BZ	CZ	DZ	EZ	FZ	GZ	HZ	IZ	JZ	KZ	LZ	MZ	NZ	OZ	PZ	QZ	RZ	SZ	TZ	UZ	VZ	WZ	XZ	YZ	ZZ

ISO 3166-1 Codes

AA Assigned in ISO 3166-1 standard

ISO 3166 MA Statuses

AA Transitionally Reserved

AA Exceptionally Reserved

AA Indeterminately Reserved

Internationalized ccTLDs

- ISO 3166-1 only provides ASCII codes (i.e. Latin script)
- In the late 2000s, ICANN introduced a new path to pick non-Latin strings to represent countries, known as the “IDN Fast Track”.
- Underlying country/territory still derived from ISO 3166-1
- The actual string is supplied by the applicant and subject to ICANN evaluation

ASCII ccTLD

Assignment of an ISO
3166-1 alpha-2 code

or

Eligible under
Resolution 00.74

or

Grandfathered
(delegated to exceptionally
reserved code prior to 2000)

IDN ccTLD

Assignment of an ISO
3166-1 alpha-2 code

or

Eligible under
Resolution 00.74

Used to determine country's eligibility only, not string

and

String selected through
IDN Fast Track Process

How are ccTLDs managed?

- ccTLDs are intended to be managed within their respective jurisdiction
 - An appointed trustee (the “ccTLD Manager”) is responsible for all facets of ccTLD operation within the country
 - Local accountability
- IANA is responsible for evaluating requests to manage ccTLDs
 - Evaluates such requests on a number of policy criteria
 - Proceeds with requests when they satisfy all relevant criteria
 - Maintains an ongoing day-to-day operational relationship with the ccTLD manager to ensure the TLD continues to function (managing relevant data in the DNS root zone to enable the TLD)
 - Is not involved in day-to-day administration at the lower level (managing second-level registrations)

How are ccTLD managers assessed?

- The evaluation criteria for a request can be divided into these key areas:
 - **String eligibility** — is the underlying string (e.g. “.za” or “.pφ”) eligible to be a ccTLD?
 - **Incumbent consent** — for transfers, does the current manager of the domain agree to transfer to the proposed new manager?
 - **Public interest** — is the act the result of appropriate consensus building within the country? Will the manager perform in a fair and equitable manner?
 - **Local presence** — is the manager based on the country or territory?
 - **Stability** — will the ongoing stability and security of the domain be preserved?
 - **Operational competency** — is the manager adequately skilled to manage the domain?

Different forms of assessment for ccTLDs

- **Delegation** is the initial creation of a new ccTLD (i.e. does not exist prior)
- **Transfer** is the consensual transfer of the ccTLD from an incumbent manager to a new manager
 - Conditional on meeting policy requirements reviewed by IANA
 - Formerly known as a “redelegation” but the ccNSO deprecated the term in 2014
- **Revocation** is designated by the ccNSO to specifically refer to cases where the ccTLD manager misbehaves and loses their ability to manage a ccTLD.
- **Retirement** refers to when a ccTLD is removed when its underlying eligibility is no longer present (i.e. the country no longer exists)
- Note: these terms can have different meanings for gTLDs and for historical cases of ccTLDs

Evaluation process

- Prospective applicants usually talk to IANA staff prior to, or early in, the process to gain a greater understanding of the policies and procedure
 - During ICANN meetings we often facilitate private bilateral meetings on these topics
- Applicant submits materials in accordance with published procedures
- Process tends to be iterative with applications refined over time
- If IANA agrees to proceed, procedural review by ICANN Board is a component
- Once IANA recognizes the change, in the case of a transfer, the incumbent begins to transfer operations to the new manager in accordance with the supplied transfer plans

Significantly Interested Parties and Local Law

- One key aspect of ccTLD management is that there is local consensus on how the ccTLD should be managed
- There is an expectation there is a locally-convened multi-stakeholder process around such decisions
- There is no basis in the policy that governments unilaterally appoint ccTLD managers
 - Evaluations seeks diverse perspectives and information on the process that demonstrates appropriate engagement
 - Governments are recognized as key stakeholders, and their explicit opinion will always be sought (support, non-objection, etc.)
- ccTLD managers do need to be in jurisdiction, and thus accountable under local law

ccTLD Managers must have an active role

- It is not designed to be a party with merely an oversight role.
- Oversight mechanisms should operate within the country. Using the IANA process to be nominated as the “ccTLD manager” in order to provide oversight is not appropriate.
- It is important IANA recognizes and deals directly with the party who performs day-to-day management of the domain
- The manager is responsible for keeping their IANA records accurate and up-to-date, inactive managers result in poor data quality.
- The manager can use vendors to support their role, such as technical back end registry service providers (RSPs), but the manager retains an active role on administration.
- The ccTLD Manager is the party with standing to be the member in the ccNSO.

Transfer consent requirement

- In accordance with the ccNSO Framework of Interpretation, requests to transfer by be consensual. A prerequisite for a transfer is the incumbent manager must willingly agree.
- Pursuing a change of a ccTLD manager without consent must involve a revocation request.
- Revocations are for substantial misbehavior when the manager hasn't carried out their responsibilities appropriately (as defined by global policy)
- The behavior must be *“either egregious or persistent and may include performing the necessary responsibilities of a manager in a manner that imposes serious harm or has a substantial adverse impact on the Internet community by posing a threat to the stability and security of the DNS”*
- IANA is limited to act only when such conduct is proper noticed and a reasonable opportunity to cure, or poses a stability risk to the DNS.

How IANA resolves disputes over who runs a ccTLD

- It is not in IANA's responsibility to adjudicate disputes, disputes must be resolved in-country.
- Postel explicitly stated in RFC 1591:

Significantly interested parties in the domain should agree that the designated manager is the appropriate party. The IANA tries to have any contending parties reach agreement among themselves, and generally takes no action to change things unless all the contending parties agree; only in cases where the designated manager has substantially mis-behaved would the IANA step in.

Practical realities on jurisdiction

- Prior to ICANN, some ccTLDs were originally delegated to entities outside the country to bootstrap their initial connectivity to the global Internet
 - e.g. researchers in academic networks establishing first links
- In some cases, the ccTLD manager began in-country, but expropriated operations to outside the jurisdiction later
 - Oversight is a local matter. IANA is not empowered by the policies to perform any ongoing compliance in these areas.
- The ccTLD manager may be in-country, but uses an out-of-country RSP by design.
- Practically, the ccTLD manager has control over the essential business data (e.g. customers, which domains are registered) that would be necessary to transfer ccTLD management to a new entity
- This means incumbent consent is not just a policy requirement to perform a transfer, but a practical one too.
 - IANA is not capable of moving the business data from the incumbent manager to a new manager, only the delegation in the root zone

Considering local escrow

- Escrow arrangements can provide a mutual method of depositing the essential business records with a trusted third party on a regular basis.
- In the event of agreed conditions, these records can be released to enable the transfer or restoration of registry operations in a new location.
- ICANN administers a mandatory escrow program for gTLD registries
 - <https://www.icann.org/resources/data-escrow-services-en>
 - Eight different accredited data escrow agents that gTLDs can deposit registry data in a common format
 - ICANN is able to use this data to restore registry quickly with an emergency back-end registry operator (EBERO) under defined conditions
- For ccTLDs, there are no such requirements, but locally such solutions can be considered for the same reasons.
- Can provide local options in the event of registry failure.

Policy gaps with ccTLDs

- The ccTLDs policies evolved organically over time:
 - Invented with basic principles in the 1980s
 - Early refinement in the 1990s by Jon Postel, still largely unstructured
 - ICANN org established standardized processes in the 2000s
 - ccNSO develops clarifying guidance in the 2010s
 - ccNSO develops new policy in the 2020s
- There is no single holistic policy document, and there are still practical situations largely unaddressed by policy
- Recent operational event highlighted potential areas where policy is unspecified
- Has triggered a broader discussion between IANA and ccNSO on these gaps, with an eye to future policy work and/or document clarifications
 - Session in the ccNSO this Wednesday on this topic

Retirement

- ccTLDs are predicated on the existence of the underlying countries or territories they represent
 - ISO 3166-1 adds and removes codes in line with country recognition
- Policy requires that, following the removal of an ISO 3166-1 code, its associated code will be removed within 5 years of that date.
 - Potentially extendable up to 10 years under certain conditions
- No longer relies on voluntary adherence
- This period of time provides the opportunity to transfer a domain to a successor ccTLD

Resources

- Overall guide to ccTLD delegations and transfers
 - <https://www.iana.org/help/cctld-delegation>
- Eligibility strings for ccTLDs
 - <https://www.iana.org/help/eligible-tlds>
- Operational and technical plans for ccTLDs
 - <https://www.iana.org/help/operational-plans>
- Guide to ccTLD retirement
 - <https://www.iana.org/help/cctld-retirement>
- Common questions and answers
 - <https://www.iana.org/help/cctld-delegation-answers>

Talking with IANA

- IANA is happy to meet with parties who are exploring changes to how their ccTLD is managed.
- We will provide neutral advice on the policies and how they may apply to your situation
- We recommend such consultations so that local work is properly informed by the requirements of global policy.
- Such meetings can be arranged through ICANN's regional stakeholder engagement staff, or directly with IANA (root-mgmt@iana.org)

Thank you!

kim.davies@iana.org