Review our Expected Standards of Behavior when participating in ICANN Meetings.

Go to: http://go.icann.org/expected-standards

Review the ICANN Community Anti-Harassment Policy when participating in ICANN Meetings.

Go to: http://go.icann.org/anti-harassment

Do you have a question or concern for the ICANN Ombudsman?

Email ombudsman@icann.org to set up a meeting.
ICANN80 - GAC Joint Meeting with the ICANN Board

Monday, 10 June 2024
11:45 (UTC)/13:45 CAT
Meeting scheduled for Monday 10 June at 11:45 UTC (13:45 local Kigali)

1. Introductions
2. Review of GAC Topics/Questions (shared in advance of meeting)
3. AOB
4. Closing
1. GNSO Statements of Interest
2. Resolution of Contention Sets
3. Public Interest Commitments and Registry Voluntary Commitments
4. Name Collisions
5. DNS Resiliency
6. New DNS Technologies
7. Applicant Support in Next Round of New gTLDs
8. Registration Data Request Service
9. Balancing of Stakeholder Interests
Topic 1. GNSO Statements of Interest

- In view of recent discussions between the GAC and Board, can you share the current state of thinking within the Board on transparency rules applicable to SOIs (ethics code idea) throughout the multistakeholder community?
Topic 2. Resolution of Contention Sets

- Can the Board provide an update on its work regarding the consideration of the committee’s ICANN77 (Washington, D.C.) advice on resolution of contention sets (https://gac.icann.org/contentMigrated/icann77-washington-d-c-communique?language_id=1 at page 12) - including the potential engagement of an expert?
Topic 3. Public Interest Commitments and Registry Voluntary Commitments

- During the recent Board/GAC Interaction Group (BGIG) conversation last month, the GAC recalls that Board members anticipated they would have more news on their high-level approach to RVCs and PICs by Kigali. **Can the Board provide an update on its current approach to this important topic?**

- Also, the Board mentioned the potential option to share a summary of recent legal analysis work. **Can that still be done?**
Topic 4. Name Collisions

- The Board previously advised the GAC that it was looking forward to analysis and feedback from the Security & Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC) regarding the findings and recommendations presented within the Name Collision Analysis Project (NCAP) Study Two and the proposed Name Collision Risk Assessment Framework. Now that the SSAC’s analysis has been published (SAC 124), can the Board share some initial reactions and whether the report met Board expectations?
Topic 5. DNS Resiliency

- What steps are being taken by the Board to ensure the security and resilience of the DNS system against emerging threats (such as large-scale cyberattacks, distributed denial of service (DDOS), and other threats)?
6. New DNS Technologies

- How will the potential economic impacts of new DNS technologies, such as Blockchain and alternative root systems, be addressed, from the point of view of the Board?
7.a. Applicant Support in Next Round of New gTLDs (Incubator)

- The Board noted in its 5 May scorecard regarding the ICANN79 Communiqué (https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/scorecard-gac-advice-san-juan-communique-board-action-05may24-en.pdf), that the full scope of support provided via the Applicant Support Program (ASP) (including the ASP incubator concept) will be determined by supplemental recommendation 17.2, which the GNSO Council has recently adopted and which the Board would consider at its May workshop. **Were there any particular developments at the Board workshop that would impact that incubator concept?**
7.b. Applicant Support in Next Round of New gTLDs (RSP Fees)

- Has ICANN Org made an assessment of the potential effects for the proposed $92,000 evaluation fee on new Registry Service Providers (RSPs), particularly those from developing regions?
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8. Registration Data Request Service

- During the recent 13 May Board/GAC Interaction Group (BGIG) call, it was noted that ICANN org was expected to provide a 6-month analysis of the RDRS prior to ICANN80. That information was shared during an ICANN Prep Week presentation on Wednesday May 29 (see https://static.sched.com/hosted_files/icann80/94/ICANN80%20Prep%20Week%20Session%20RDRS%20Update%20May%202016_30%20UTC.pdf[static.sched.com]).

- The reports and statistics shared are interesting. Collectively, it appears from the reporting that only ~ 20% of requests are approved and ~70% of requests appear to be denied for various reasons (see following slide). Can the Board provide any insights on the analysis or share how the reporting will be used to refine the RDRS system?
8. Registration Data Request Service

Metric 13: Number of Closed Disclosure Requests by Outcome Type

1,096 TOTAL

- APPROVED: 19.16%
- DENIED: 71.26%
- PUBLICLY_AVAILABLE: 8.30%
- PARTIALLY_APPROVED: 1.28%
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Topic 9. Balancing of Stakeholder Interests

- Taking account of recent developments in global digital governance (e.g., NetMundial +10, WSIS+20, GDC, etc.), what is ICANN’s current involvement in these broader issues and how, as an organization, is it being overseen by the Board?
- How can ICANN strengthen multistakeholder governance and better balance the interests of various stakeholders, including governments, corporations, and civil society within ICANN discussions?
- What is the role/impact/influence of ICANN’s own processes (e.g., PDPs and EPDPs) in these broader questions?
Board/GAC AOB Topics

- Recent Board Letter to GNSO about Urgent Requests
- ICANN stability - in light of recent news about organizational changes
Thank you!