



**Review our Expected Standards of Behavior when participating in ICANN Meetings.**

Go to:

<http://go.icann.org/expected-standards>

**Review the ICANN Community Anti-Harassment Policy when participating in ICANN Meetings.**

Go to:

<http://go.icann.org/anti-harassment>



**Do you have a question or concern for the ICANN Ombudsman?**

Email [ombudsman@icann.org](mailto:ombudsman@icann.org) to set up a meeting.





# GAC Meeting with GNSO Council

Monday, 19 September 2022  
16:30 - 17:30



# Agenda

---

1. Introduction
2. WHOIS Disclosure System
3. Subsequent Rounds of New gTLDs
  - GNSO Council's experience interacting with org on preparing the Operational Design Phase (ODP) on SubPro
  - GNSO Guidance Process on Applicant Support (GGP)
  - Facilitated Closed Generics Dialogue
4. DNS Abuse
5. Accuracy
6. Any Other Business



## 2. WHOIS Disclosure System

---

### GAC Questions/Talking Points:

- Can the GNSO outline expected next steps with the ICANN Board regarding the design proposal by ICANN org of a WHOIS Disclosure System in response to the GNSO's request for a prototype or pilot of a potential SSAD ?
- How does the GNSO envision the transition to occur between the pilot WHOIS Disclosure System being proposed, and the eventual consideration of SSAD policy recommendation ? Could key features stemming from the EPDP Phase 2 policy recommendations, such as accreditation, be added gradually to this system ?
- According to the GNSO Council, to what extent does the proposed WHOIS Disclosure System serve the policy intent pursued by the SSAD Phase 2 Recommendations?

### 3. Subsequent Rounds of New gTLDs

---

#### GAC Questions/Talking Points:

- **Operational Design Phase (ODP):**
  - a. What are the learnings so far for the GNSO Council from the process of interacting with ICANN Org in the course of the ODP?
  - b. Do you envisage any need to make adjustments?
  - c. Apparently there has been quite a lot of substantive interaction between ICANN Org and the GNSO Council on SubPro, including many questions on the interpretation of the SubPro Final Report. However, other parts of the community (e.g. GAC) have not been privy to such discussions. Maybe future interactions during ODPs should be more inclusive/transparent?

### 3. Subsequent Rounds of New gTLDs

---

#### GAC Questions/Talking Points:

- **Closed Generics:**

- a. The GAC looks forward to a fruitful and constructive dialogue with the GNSO and ALAC on this matter.
- b. Does the GNSO Council have any initial reactions to the recently-shared problem statement and briefing paper?

- **GNSO Guidance Process:**

- a. The GAC notes with great interest the recent approval of the Initiation of a GNSO Guidance Process (GGP) on Applicant [Support](#) and endorses the goal of establishing an effective applicant support and outreach program, which would contribute to increasing new gTLDs from developing and underserved regions.
- b. Per the Council's 1 September invitation, the GAC will likely provide an appointee to the effort and observers to the process. Could you elaborate on the GGP goals, timeline, and how interested stakeholders, including from the GAC and public authorities in general, can otherwise engage in this process?

## 4. DNS Abuse

---

### GAC Questions/Talking Points:

- Can the GNSO Council update the GAC on the progress and expected outcome of the GNSO Small Team on DNS Abuse ?
- Can the GNSO Council provide an overview of their assessment of the current state of DNS Abuse mitigation and opportunities moving forward to improve DNS Abuse mitigation?

## 5. Accuracy

---

### GAC Questions/Talking Points:

- Can the GNSO Council provide any updates to its timeline for consideration of the Scoping Team's recommendations?
- Can the GNSO Council provide an overview of the types of audits it expects may be available to measure accuracy and whether they would require access to registration data or not, and if so, what types of access?
- Can the GNSO Council elaborate on the Scoping Team's use of commissioned studies and whether this would extend to the use of third parties that could aid in the development of survey(s) designed to measure accuracy?

## 6. AOB

---