



**Review our Expected Standards of Behavior when participating in ICANN Meetings.**

Go to:

<http://go.icann.org/expected-standards>

**Review the ICANN Community Anti-Harassment Policy when participating in ICANN Meetings.**

Go to:

<http://go.icann.org/anti-harassment>



**Do you have a question or concern for the ICANN Ombudsman?**

Email [ombudsman@icann.org](mailto:ombudsman@icann.org) to set up a meeting.



# **GAC Meeting with the GNSO**

ICANN71

Wednesday, 16 June 2021

**ICANN | GAC**

Governmental Advisory Committee

# GAC Discussion on Subsequent Rounds (1/2) Agenda

---

1. Introduction
2. Follow-up to ICANN70:
  - a. EPDP/SSAD and Phase 2A
  - b. Accuracy
  - c. DNS Abuse
3. CCT-Review and GNSO Take on Pending Recommendations
4. Subsequent Procedures of New gTLDs and/or Issues Coming Out of GNSO Council
5. AOB

# GNSO - Follow-Up to ICANN70

---

## EPDP/SSAD:

- Regarding the SSAD, the GAC awaits an progress update from ICANN org on the ODP.
- Regarding the implementation of the policy recommendations adopted by the GNSO in Phase 1 of the EPDP, the GAC is interested in the resumption of implementation of the Privacy/Proxy Accreditation policy recommendation (PPSAI). This is consistent with GAC Advice in the ICANN64 Kobe Communiqué, and subsequent follow-up on that advice in the ICANN65 Marrakech and ICANN66 Montréal Communiqués.

## Phase 2A:

GAC representatives in the EPDP Phase 2A have raised several process observations following the recent publication of the Initial Report:

- Timeline constraints have not been helpful in supporting the EPDP's work
- There were too many substantive changes at the end of the drafting process of the Initial Report and not enough time for the EPDP team to review the proposed edits. Brand new questions, and changes with short turnaround times before publication, as low as 24 hours 24h, should not be allowed.
- A document management system should be adopted to avoid too many documents being created too frequently. The number of documents should be reduced and their access centralized. This is deemed to directly impact the effectiveness of the EPDP Team's work.

# GNSO - Follow-Up to ICANN70

---

## Accuracy Scoping Effort:

- It should be noted that GAC concerns with accuracy of domain name information refer to the registration information itself and how it corresponds to the registrant of the domain name, and with the accuracy of that information for the purposes for which it is processed.
- In that context, the focus of further studies on this matter should concentrate on the accuracy of the domain name information.

## DNS Abuse:

The GAC would welcome an update from the GNSO about what Community work it envisions to conduct on these issues in light of the recent SAC115 Report and SSR2 Review Team Recommendations.



# GNSO - CCT Review

---

- While the GAC found the feedback from the GNSO interesting, and in places constructive, it is not that clear how it takes us forward with respect to the key concerns elucidated in the GAC Communique from ICANN70.
- In particular on the issue of the CCT Review and Subsequent Rounds of New gTLD, the GNSO seemed to reiterate previous GNSO Council positions and did not address the substantive issues clearly identified by the GAC's advice, in terms of the adoptions of (relevant) CCT Review Recommendations *ahead* of the next round of New gTLDs.
- Question 1: Given decisions not to address certain relevant issues in the SubPro work, does the GNSO intend to opine on those Recommendations that might require PDP processes, especially given the duration of such ?
- Question 2: The GAC asked the Board in its ICANN 70 Communique for a “*a tracking tool that identifies the status of each Recommendation in terms of who is taking it forward, how it will be implemented and when it is expected to be completed, particularly in regard to Recommendations attributed to the Organisation and the ICANN Community (in addition to the Board).*” Would the GNSO Council agree on such a tool being made available by ICANN Org on the CCT Review recommendations, and possibly also other review team recommendations?

# GNSO - Subsequent Procedures

---

As a point of information, GAC would like to draw the attention of the GNSO Council to the input the GAC has filed in the recently finalized public comment period opened by the Board:

[\[Comments-gnso-gtld-subsequent-procedures-final-outputs-22apr21\] GAC Comment: GNSO New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Final Outputs for ICANN Board Consideration](#)



