
IGO Protections

Session 2

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Background | 2 |
| Issues | 3 |
| Leadership Proposal for GAC Action | 4 |
| Relevant Developments | 4 |
| Maintenance of the GAC's IGO List | 4 |
| Discussion of IGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms | 6 |
| Current Positions | 10 |
| Key Reference Documents | 13 |
| Annex: Final Report on Update of Protection of IGO Names (ICANN Org Project) | |

Session Objective

- Consider the final report of ICANN org's initiative to update the 2012 IGO List (per GAC San Juan Communiqué Advice)
- Regarding IGO Access to Curative RPMs, the GAC will assess the status of the ICANN Board's consideration of GNSO Policy Recommendations as well as progress on chartering new GNSO Policy Development work on this matter.

Background

The protection of the names and acronyms of International Governmental Organizations (IGOs) against unauthorized use in the DNS emerged as an issue as part of the [Second WIPO Internet Domain Name Process](#) (2001). Over the following decade, several attempts were made¹ at addressing WIPO's recommendations to include IGO names in the scope of the [Uniform Dispute Resolution Procedure](#) (UDRP).

In the meantime, the [GAC Principles regarding New gTLDs](#) (28 March 2007) recognized that *“the process for introducing new gTLDs must make proper allowance for prior third party rights, in particular [...] rights in the names and acronyms of [...] IGOs”*.

During the development of the [New gTLDs Program](#), the issue was raised by legal counsels of several IGOs through an [open letter](#) (13 December 2011), followed by an IGO Common Position Paper² (4 May 2012) and a [letter on behalf of the United Nations Secretary General](#) (11 July 2012) providing the legal basis and rationale for *“targeted exclusion of third party registrations of the names and acronyms of IGOs both at the top and second level, at least during ICANN's first application round and until further appropriate policy could be developed”*.

Subsequent interactions on this matter between the ICANN Board ([Request for policy advice](#), 11 March 2012), the GAC ([GAC Toronto Communiqué](#) and subsequent communiqués) and the GNSO (which [Initiated](#) a Policy Development Process on this matter on 17 October 2012) led to establishing the foundations of an enduring mixed regime of initial temporary protections to be replaced by permanent protections eventually.

However, since the GNSO delivered its [recommendations on the Protection of IGO and INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs](#) (20 November 2013), the ICANN Board has been challenged to reconcile the divergence between these policy recommendations and GAC Advice, as reflected in the Board [resolution](#) of 30 April 2014, while the United Nations Secretary General BAN Ki-moon [requested](#) assistance from all Members States *“in obtaining protection for the names and acronyms of IGOs from being registered as Internet Domain Names by third parties who misrepresent themselves as the IGOs in question”* (June 2016).

More recently, the outcome of the ensuing [IGO/INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanism GNSO PDP](#) (June 2016-July 2018) has been disputed by IGOs as summarized in a [letter from the United Nations Assistant Secretary-General, Office of Legal Affairs to the ICANN Board](#) (27 July 2018)³. It should be noted that IGOs positions have been consistently supported by the GAC, including through GAC Consensus Advice.

¹ see [WIPO-2 Joint Working Group](#) (2003-2004), and [GNSO Issue Report on Dispute Handling for IGO Names and Abbreviations](#) (2007)

² see Annex 5 of the [Final GNSO Issue Report on the Protection of International Organization Names in New gTLDs](#)

³ letter sent on behalf of the Legal Counsels of the OECD, UPU, WHO, and WIPO, as part of a broader coalition of 40 IGOs, and to which the ICANN CEO [responded](#) on 29 November 2018

Issues

As a result of the development of the [New gTLD Program](#), and the divergence that subsequently emerged between GNSO policy recommendations and GAC Advice, IGO names and acronyms are subject to a multifaceted regime of protections, pending outcomes of several ongoing processes:

At the top level of the DNS (IGO identifiers as Top-Level Domain Names)

- Under the rules of the 2012 [New gTLD Applicant Guidebook](#), IGOs were eligible to file objections on New gTLD Applications (see Legal Rights Objections, Section 3.2 of the [New gTLD Applicant Guidebook](#))
- Per ICANN Board [resolution](#) (30 April 2014) adopting GNSO Policy recommendations not inconsistent with GAC Advice, Full Names of IGOs on the [GAC List](#) are now permanently reserved at the Top Level.
- It is unclear at this stage whether and how these provisions could be affected by the ongoing [New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP](#)

At the second level of the DNS (IGO identifiers as Second Level Domain Names)

- Full Names of IGOs listed on the [GAC List](#) are permanently protected in two languages by virtue of the [Protection of IGO and INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs Policy](#) (an ICANN [Consensus Policy](#) effective since 1 August 2018)
- Acronyms of IGOs listed on the [GAC List](#) are temporarily protected by virtue of an ICANN Board [resolution](#) (9 January 2014) consistent with GAC Advice in the [GAC Buenos Aires Communiqué](#) (20 November 2013), and pending the resolution of [inconsistencies](#) between existing GNSO policy recommendations and GAC Advice, including consideration of the contested [Final Report](#) of the [IGO/INGO Access to Curative Rights Mechanism PDP WG](#) (17 July 2018) [adopted](#) in part by the GNSO Council (18 April 2019)

Currently the GAC is focussing on the following issues:

1. Ensuring that the GAC's [IGO List of 22 March 2013](#) is updated⁴, as complete as possible, and its currency is maintained in the future, consistent with [Advice](#) in the [GAC San Juan Communiqué](#), in response to which the Board [directed](#) a feasibility study.
2. Seeking to resolve the long-standing issues created by the divergence of policy advice provided to the ICANN Board by GNSO and GAC regarding the regime of protections afforded to IGO acronyms
3. Specifically, addressing the concerns that IGOs immunities (under international and national laws) and related proposals, have not been appropriately taken into account in the Final Report of the GNSO PDP WG on IGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms, now [adopted](#) in part by the GNSO Council (18 April 2019) and under consideration by the ICANN Board, which the GAC [recently advised](#) to “*abstain from taking a decision on these recommendations inter alia to allow the parties sufficient time to explore possible ways forward*” in a letter to the ICANN Board on 20 August 2019.

⁴ According to a set of [criteria](#), as included in the [letter](#) to the ICANN Board date 22 March 2013 which introduced the IGO List.

Leadership Proposal for GAC Action

1. **Consider the conclusions of the recently completed work by ICANN org's** in connection with [Advice](#) in the [GAC San Juan Communiqué](#) and related Board [response](#), in particular:
 - assessing the outcomes of this short term project (with the collaboration of IGO representatives), and the possible terms of more permanent arrangements to ensure that the list of IGOs eligible for preventative protection is as accurate and complete as possible
 - discussing the GAC's role in the longer term maintenance of the existing list, and, in addition, a potential GAC process for approving new inclusions into the GAC IGO List.
2. **Follow-up with the ICANN Board on its consideration of the GNSO policy recommendation regarding IGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms** following the closure of the recent [public comment period](#) and the GAC's [submission](#) (20 August 2019), including advice to abstain from taking a decision on these recommendations. Very recently, the ICANN Board [responded](#) (14 October 2019) that it *“does not presently intend to act on the GNSO's PDP recommendations 1, 2, 3, and 4 until the newly formed Board Caucus Group has completed its review of the matter and formulated suggestion for possible paths forward”*
3. **Consider the adequacy of the GNSO's Draft Charter for a new IGO Work Track** under the ongoing GNSO Review of All RPMs PDP **to address the rejection of Recommendation 5 of the IGO Access to Curative RPMs PDP WG**, and in particular whether the proposed mechanism is conducive to:
 - an effective consideration and representation of IGOs and GACs input, and
 - the possibility of the Recommendation 1-4 of the IGO Access to Curative RPMs adopted by the GNSO Council to be superseded by new policy recommendations

Relevant Developments

Maintenance of the GAC's IGO List

- In the [GAC Toronto Communiqué](#) (17 October 2012), the GAC advised the ICANN Board with a view to seek the protection of IGO names and acronyms at the second level of new gTLDs
- The implementation of these protections has relied on the [IGO List](#) assembled by the GAC according to a set of [criteria](#), per the GAC Chair [letter](#) to the ICANN Board on 22 March 2013
- As part of the effort to implement protections of IGO names ([Consensus Policy](#) effective 1 August 2018), consistent with GNSO Policy [recommendations](#) as [adopted](#) by the ICANN Board (30 April 2014), IGO representatives have identified the need to ensure completeness of the reference IGO List
- Consequently, in the [San Juan Communiqué](#) (15 March 2018) the GAC advised the ICANN Board to *“Ensure that the list of IGOs eligible for preventative protection is as accurate and*

complete as possible” and provided subsequent [clarifications](#) (15 May 2018) in response to ICANN Board questions.

Regarding whether the GAC should remain “*the authoritative organization to determine which IGOs are to be protected, [...] as well as to determine any updates that are to be made to the list?*” the GAC indicated that it “*does not seem best placed to continue to fulfil these functions, e.g. facilitating discussions and interfacing between IGOs and ICANN (who would maintain the aforementioned list). The GAC cannot assume other activities as it currently lacks the resources to be able to carry out such roles effectively.*”

- In the San Juan GAC Advice [scorecard](#) (30 May 2018), the ICANN Board resolved to defer action on the advice until it could assess the feasibility of the GAC’s request
- On 20 October 2018, during a meeting in Barcelona, representatives from the ICANN Org, the GAC Chair, OECD and WIPO agreed on principles of a collaboration on this matter
- In January 2019, an ICANN Org project team was formed to assess the feasibility of the GAC’s request and to update the IGO List, building on previous work conducted by OECD, with subject matter expertise provided by IGO representatives. During this initial work, the GAC was expected to remain the authoritative organization ultimately responsible for determining eligibility of IGOs for inclusion in the list and for determining whether any updates are to be made to the GAC List. .
- The integral part of this Project was communication with as many IGOs as possible (on the GAC List) to seek contact information, whether their name was configured correctly, and whether they wished for their name to be protected in a second language (as allowed for in the [Protection of IGO and INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs Policy](#)).
- The Project, so far, has resulted in 36 IGOs seeking protection of their name in a second language. Only a handful of IGOs have sought small adjustments to their listed name. IGOs are still responding to the communications from the project team. In their Final Report of the project (See Annex to this briefing), ICANN org has suggested that the GAC may consider how adjustments to the GAC list might be made as further adjustments or additions are requested by these IGOs.
- Consideration should also be given for an approval process be put in place in order to confirm the addition of new IGOs in the GAC [IGO List](#), consistent with the associated GAC [criteria](#) (per the GAC Chair [letter](#) of 22 March 2013). The GAC Leadership and GAC Topic Leads have discussed a possible three step process:
 1. A committee of experts (possibly from ICANN, WIPO and OECD) would advise,
 2. The GAC Leadership would consider and share a proposal to the GAC for comments
 3. The GAC would be given a window for comments, before adoption of the decision and the necessary communication with ICANN Org.

Discussion of IGO Protections at the Second Level in connection with the GNSO PDP Working Group on IGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms

Historical Developments and Substantive Contributions (from IGOs, GAC, GNSO and ICANN)

- The [initiation](#) (5 June 2014) of the [IGO/INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanism Policy Development Process](#) (IGO CRPM PDP) stemmed from the [Final Report](#) of the preceding PDP on [Protection of IGO and INGO Identifier in All gTLDs](#) (10 November 2013) which recommended that current policies be “*amended so that curative rights of the UDRP and URS can be used by those organizations that are granted protections*” (recommendation 3.5.3).
- On 14 April 2014, **IGOs provided [comments](#)** as part of the development of the [Final Issue Report](#) (25 May 2014) required for the PDP to be initiated, stating:
 - “*IGOs dissented from the Working Group’s recommendation against preventative protection for IGO acronyms [...]. If, however, owing to the Working Group’s recommendation, protection for IGO [acronyms] at the second level is to be curative rather than preventative, it is vital that the limited protections ICANN is willing to grant are implemented in as effective a way as is possible within a registration-driven framework*”
 - noting that “*The focus of the GAC, GNSO, and NGPC is now on second-level protection of IGO identifiers through administrative dispute resolution mechanisms*”, “*IGOs agree with the Staff recommendation that it is more appropriate to create a separate dispute resolution procedure modeled on the UDRP (and one on the URS) but narrowly-tailored to accommodate the particular circumstances of IGOs*”
- In the [GAC Los Angeles Communiqué](#) (16 October 2014), the **GAC issued [Advice to the ICANN Board](#)** regarding the question of whether the URDP should be amended or a separate dispute resolution procedure should be created for IGOs: “*The GAC advises the ICANN Board: i. That the UDRP should not be amended;[...]*”.
- On 29 April 2015, **the GAC [responded](#)** to a [request from the PDP Working Group](#) for input noting that “*GAC advice to the ICANN Board has repeatedly emphasized that IGOs are in an objectively different category to other rights holders and that governments support the implementation of appropriate protections of IGO names and acronyms on public policy grounds*” and pointing to an earlier [IGO Small Group response to questions from the Working Group](#) (16 January 2015) discussing in detail aspects of the legal issues at hand.
- In the course of its deliberations the IGO CRPM PDP Working Group requested that ICANN retains [Professor Edward Swaine](#) from George Washington University (USA) to prepare a **legal memo** in response to a set of specific questions related to IGOs immunity from judicial process. Pr. Swaine delivered an [Initial Synopsis of a Draft Memo](#) (28 February 2016) and eventually released the [Memorandum on IGO Immunity](#) (17 June 2016)
- In response to the legal memo, certain **IGO representatives** (WIPO, OECD, World Bank) [commented](#) (12 July 2016), inter alia, that the analysis in the Memo was not requested by

the IGOs and reiterated “longstanding statements of the IGOs regarding the basic facts that preclude IGO recourse to the UDRP”

- On 4 October 2016, the **ICANN Board communicated to the GNSO Council the [IGO Small Group proposal](#)** for the protection of IGO Acronyms at the Second Level of the Domain Name System, which the GAC referred to in the [Hyderabad Communiqué](#) (8 November 2016) as striking “a reasonable balance between rights and concerns of both IGOs and legitimate third parties”, and called on ICANN to establish all of the following:
 - a procedure to notify IGOs of third-party registration of their acronyms;
 - a dispute resolution mechanism modeled on but separate from the UDRP, which provides in particular for appeal to an arbitral tribunal instead of national courts, in conformity with relevant principles of international law; and
 - an emergency relief (e.g., 24-48 hours) domain name suspension mechanism to combat risk of imminent harm.
- On 31 October 2016, the **legal counsels of the IGO coalition wrote to the GNSO Council Leadership** “to provide the perspective of IGOs on some of the political, legal and practical considerations” of the issue, referring to the [IGO Small Group proposal](#) as a “compromise proposal follow[ing] on years of comprehensive negotiations involving representatives of the ICANN Board, the GAC, IGOs and ICANN staff”, and noted that “thus far, we have seen policy-making on this important matter dominated by Internet domain name registration interests”
- In the [GAC Hyderabad Communiqué](#) (8 November 2016), **the GAC advised the ICANN Board:**
 - “to [...] facilitate, through a transparent and good faith dialogue, the resolution of outstanding inconsistencies between GAC advice and GNSO recommendations with regard to the protection of IGO acronyms in the DNS and to report on progress at ICANN 58.”
 - “that a starting basis for resolution of differences between GAC Advice and existing GNSO Recommendations would be the [small group compromise proposal](#) set out in the October 4, 2016 letter from the ICANN Board Chair to the GNSO”
- On 20 December 2016, **representative of the ICANN Board, Organisation, GAC and GNSO met to prepare a facilitated discussion** during ICANN58 (see [Notes](#) of the meeting). Eventually, these preparations led to the circulation of three documents:
 - [Proposed Process For a Facilitated Dialogue Between GAC and GNSO](#)
 - [Problem Statement](#) (10 March 2017)
 - [Briefing Paper: Reconciling GAC Public Policy Advice & GNSO Policy Recommendations](#) (10 March 2017)
- On 19 January 2017, the IGO CRPM PDP **WG released its [Initial Report](#)** on which, **the GAC submitted [comments](#)** (12 March 2017), pointing to inadequate consideration of GAC Advice and IGO contributions. The [US Government](#) and 21 IGOs also submitted contributions. See

section IV. Analysis of Comments in the [Report of Public Comments](#) (5 May 2017) for a summary of comments.

- In the meantime, on 12 March 2017, during the ICANN58 meeting in Copenhagen **the GAC and GNSO participated in a [Facilitated Dialogue session](#)** (see [summary](#) by the session's facilitator). There were no subsequent developments in the facilitation process as the facilitator, Bruce Tonkin eventually [indicated](#) (16 June 2017) a dependency on progress of the IGO CRPM PDP WG.
- In the November 2017-June 2018 timeframe, **the IGO CRPM PDP Working Group experienced procedural difficulties and formal challenge** in the formation of consensus on its recommendation, as discussed in a GNSO Council [Paper on Policy & Procedural Options relating to IGO Jurisdictional Immunity](#) (9 March 2018). A later [Summary Report on the Current Status of Consultations with the IGO IGO CRPM PDP WG](#) (12 April 2018) recognized a number of challenges in the PDP WG deliberations which made them “highly unlikely” to “result in clear consensus”, noting that *“any consensus recommendation on this topic will likely conflict with GAC advice”*. This ultimately led a closer involvement of the GNSO Council with sought a timely delivery of the Final Report.
- In the [GAC Panama Communiqué Advice](#) (28 June 2018), the **GAC advised the ICANN Board to work with the GNSO to ensure that GAC Advice and the [IGO Small Group proposal](#) is “adequately taken into account in any related Board decision”**. The rationale referred the [2007 GNSO Issue Report on Dispute Handling for IGO Names and Abbreviations](#) as providing *“a blueprint for a means for handling domain name disputes concerning IGO identifiers which substantially matches the ‘small group’ proposal.”*

Conclusion of the IGO CRPM PDP, GNSO Council deliberations and GNSO/GAC engagement

- On 17 July 2018, **the IGO CRPM PDP Working Group** submitted its [Final Report](#) for consideration by the GNSO Council. The report includes several substantial Minority Statements (see Annex B)
- On 27 July 2018, **IGOs disputed the Final Report** in a [letter from the United Nations Assistant Secretary-General, Office of Legal Affairs to the ICANN Board](#). In reaction, participants of the PDP Working Group expressed their views with the ICANN Board ([Letter From IGO-INGO Working Group](#) and [Letter from Paul R. Keating](#), 16 August 2018)
- In a letter from [GAC Chair to GNSO Council Chair](#) (21 October 2018), the **GAC expressed “its serious concerns about this report given the clear conflict between its conclusions and longstanding GAC advice”** and asked *“that the GNSO Council gives serious consideration to the option of deferring its decision on the [...] PDP final recommendations until a dialogue between GAC and GNSO Council has been conducted”*
- During the ICANN63 meeting (22 October 2018), at the request of the GNSO Council, **IGO representatives provided a [high-level overview of concerns](#)** with the IGO CRPM PDP WG Final Report, quoting or echoing the minority statement of the resigned co-chair of the Working Group (in addition to a more detailed discussion of each recommendation):

- *“After four years of effort this WG has utterly failed to provide a policy recommendation that reasonably resolves the central challenge it confronted”*
- *“Not only has the working group failed to provide any recommendations that would facilitate IGO access to curative rights mechanisms, they have actually passed one recommendation that would *penalise* an IGO that successfully asserts an immunity claim”*
- *it also pointed the “imbalance of the working group members’ votes on the final recommendations: “Of the 11 WG members who supported the Recommendation, a majority (7) were either domain investors or attorneys representing domain investors (domainers), indicating that the WG’s consensus call process had been captured by a narrow segment of the ICANN community with a significant commercial interest in the outcome”*
- In the [GAC Barcelona Communiqué](#) (25 October 2018), the GAC [advised](#) the ICANN Board **to: “facilitate a substantive, solutions-oriented dialogue between the GNSO and the GAC in an effort to resolve the longstanding issue of IGO protections, on which it reaffirms its previous advice, notably with respect to the creation of a curative mechanism and maintenance of temporary protections.”**
- On 29 November 2018, the ICANN CEO hinted at the ICANN Board’s readiness to facilitate the requested dialogue in his [response to the Legal Counsels of the IGOs](#), while [reassuring](#) other stakeholders that the *“ICANN Board is fully cognizant of the need for the bottom-up policy”*.
- On 27 January 2019, the ICANN Board **confirmed its readiness** to *“facilitate a substantive, solutions-oriented discussion should it be invited to do so by the GNSO and the GAC”* in its [response](#) to the GAC Barcelona Communiqué.
- On 18 April 2019, after 9 months of internal deliberations (including a dedicated [webinar](#) on 9 October 2018), and in spite of engagement with the GAC through correspondence ([response to GAC Chair](#) on 14 January 2019) and bilateral meetings ([GAC/GNSO Leadership discussion](#) on 14 February 2019, [GAC/GNSO Joint Meeting during ICANN64](#) on 10 March 2019), the GNSO Council [resolved](#) to approve Recommendations 1 to 4 of the IGO CRPM PDP WG Final Report and to refer Recommendation 5 to the ongoing RPM Review PDP WG.
- To date, GAC efforts to secure the GNSO’s participation in a facilitated dialogue, both before the GNSO Council vote ([GAC letter](#) of 17 April 2019) and after its decision ([GAC/GNSO Leadership Call](#) on 21 May 2019 and the subsequent GAC Chair [letter](#) of 23 May 2019), have been unsuccessful. The GNSO Council confirmed, in its [response](#) to the GAC Chair (31 May 2019), to be awaiting the ICANN Board’s decision on Recommendation 1-4, while initiating work on charter further work on Recommendation 5.
- During the ICANN65 meeting, representatives from the GAC, IGOs, GNSO, and ICANN Board discussed informally the possibility to complete new policy development in relation to Recommendation 5 expeditiously. GAC and IGO representative indicated that this would be acceptable to the extent that there would be appropriate safeguards in place to ensure that

GAC and IGO input are taken into account, that the issues would be considered comprehensively and that new policy recommendation would be permitted to overtake the current Recommendation 1-4 of the IGO Access to Curative RPM PDP WG. It was understood that the ICANN Board's flexibility on the matter would allow such an outcome.

- As a consequence, in its [response to the ICANN Board's notification](#) (20 August 2019) of its consideration of the GNSO's policy recommendations 1-4, the GAC advised the ICANN Board to "*abstain from taking a decision on these recommendations inter alia to allow the parties sufficient time to explore possible ways forward*".
- In its [response](#) (14 October 2019), the ICANN Board indicated that "*At its workshop at ICANN65 in Marrakech in June 2019, the Board decided to form a Board Caucus Group to review the community's work on this matter.*" and that consequently it "*does not presently intend to act on the GNSO's PDP recommendations 1, 2, 3, and 4 until the newly formed Board Caucus Group has completed its review of the matter and formulated suggestion for possible paths forward*"

Current Positions

GAC Advice (in reverse chronological order)

- GAC [response](#) (20 August 2018) to the ICANN Board [letter](#) (11 July 2019), including Advice to the ICANN Board to "*abstain from taking a decision on these recommendations inter alia to allow the parties sufficient time to explore possible ways forward*"
- ICANN63 [Barcelona Communiqué](#) (25 October 2018) includes [Advice](#) regarding the facilitation of a dialogue and reaffirming previous advice on maintaining of temporary protections and creating curatives rights mechanisms.
- ICANN62 [Panama Communiqué](#) (28 June 2018) includes [Advice](#) regarding the maintenance of the IGO List, maintaining temporary protections and the ICANN Board working with the GNSO to ensure that GAC Advice and the [IGO Small Group proposal](#) is "*adequately taken into account in any related Board decision*". The rationale refers to a [2007 GNSO Issue Report](#) which "*provided a blueprint for a means for handling domain name disputes concerning IGO identifiers which substantially matches the "small group" proposal.*"
- ICANN61 [San Juan Communiqué](#) (15 March 2018) includes [Advice](#) regarding the maintenance of the IGO List, followed by subsequent [clarifications](#) (15 May 2018)
- ICANN60 [Abu Dhabi Communiqué](#) (1 November 2017) includes [Advice](#) calling on a close review of decisions related to the IGO CRPM PDP WG with a rationale signaling the expectation that recommendations would conflict with GAC Advice and comments on the Initial Reports.

- ICANN59 [Johannesburg Communiqué](#) (29 June 2017) includes [Advice](#) regarding the creation of curative dispute resolution mechanism and calling on the Board to ensure IGO input and expertise is reflected in the IGO CRPM PDP WG’s recommendations
- ICANN58 [Copenhagen Communiqué](#) (15 March 2017) notes the start of the facilitated dialogue and includes [Advice](#) regarding maintaining the temporary protections, facilitating continued discussions and urging the IGO CRPM PDP WG to take into account the GAC’s [comments](#) on its Initial Report.
- ICANN57 [Hyderabad Communiqué](#) (8 November 2016) includes [Advice](#) calling on the Board to “take action” and facilitate of the resolution of inconsistencies in GAC advice and GNSO recommendations by ICANN58, on the basis of the [Small Group proposal](#), inviting the IGO CRPM PDP WG to take into account this proposal, and maintaining the temporary protections.
- ICANN54 [Dublin Communiqué](#) (21 October 2015) includes [Advice](#) to facilitate the timely conclusion of discussions with the “small group” to resolve the issue of IGO protections.
- ICANN53 [Buenos Aires Communiqué](#) (24 June 2015) [notes](#) progress and invites “small group” to develop a concrete proposal, while preventative protections remain in place.
- ICANN51 [Los Angeles Communiqué](#) (15 October 2014) reaffirms advice from Toronto, [Beijing](#), [Durban](#), [Buenos Aires](#), [Singapore](#) and [London](#) regarding protection of IGO names and acronyms at the top and second levels and [advises](#) the ICANN Board that: the UDRP should not be amended, and that interim protections should remain in place while dialogue continues between Board, GAC and GNSO to develop concrete solutions to long standing GAC Advice.
- [Letter from the GAC Chair to the ICANN Board](#) (22 March 2013) on agreed [criteria](#) and corresponding [final list for protection of IGO names and acronyms](#) at the second level in the current round of gTLDs.
- ICANN45 [Toronto Communiqué](#) (17 October 2012) includes advice to implement IGO protections at the second level prior to the delegation of any new gTLDs, and in future rounds of gTLDs at the second and top level

Other GAC Contributions and Statements (in chronological order)

- GAC [response](#) to a [request](#) for input from the IGO CRPM PDP WG (29 April 2015)
- GAC [comments](#) on the IGO CRPM PDP WG Initial Report (12 March 2017)
- Letters from [GAC Chair to GNSO Council Chair](#) (9 August 2018) regarding the IGO CRPM PDP WG Final Report
- Letter from [GAC Chair to GNSO Council Chair](#) (21 October 2018) regarding the IGO CRPM PDP WG Final Report

- Letter from [GAC Chair to GNSO Council Chair](#) (17 April 2019) regarding the expected vote on the IGO CRPM PDP WG Final Report recommendations
- Letter from [GAC Chair to GNSO Council Chair and ICANN Board](#) (23 May 2019) seeking GNSO Council participation in a Board facilitation process
- Letter from [GAC Chair to the ICANN Board Chair and GNSO Council](#) (13 June 2019) regarding the expected Board consideration of the GNSO recommendations.

IGO Statements and Substantive Contributions (in chronological order)

- [Open Letter from IGOs on the Expansion of gTLDs](#) (13 December 2011)
- IGO Common Position Paper, included as Annex 5 in the [Final GNSO Issue Report on the Protection of International Organization Names in New gTLDs](#) (4 May 2012)
- [Letter on behalf of the United Nations Secretary General](#) to ICANN (11 July 2012)
- IGOs [comments](#) on Issue Report to amend the UDRP and URS to enable access by protected IGOs (14 April 2014)
- [IGO Small Group response](#) to IGO CRPM PDP WG (16 January 2015)
- [United Nations Secretary General BAN Ki-moon letter to Member States](#) requesting assistance from all Members States in obtaining protection for the names and acronyms of IGOs (June 2016)
- [Response by certain IGO representatives](#) (WIPO, OECD, World Bank) to the CRO PDP Legal Memorandum on IGO Immunity (12 July 2016)
- [IGO Small Group proposal](#) for the protection of IGO Acronyms at the Second Level of the Domain Name System (4 October 2016)
- [Letter of the legal counsels of the IGO coalition](#) to the GNSO Council Leadership (31 October 2016)
- [21 IGOs comments](#) on the IGO CRPM PDP WG Initial Report (5 May 2017)
- [Letter from the United Nations Assistant Secretary-General, Office of Legal Affairs](#) to the ICANN Board (27 July 2018)

Key Reference Documents

- [IGO Small Group proposal](#) for the protection of IGO Acronyms at the Second Level of the Domain Name System (4 October 2016)
- [Final Report](#) of the IGO Access to Curative RPM PDP Working Group (17 July 2018)
- [Letter from the United Nations Assistant Secretary-General, Office of Legal Affairs](#) to the ICANN Board (27 July 2018)
- [IGO representatives' High-Level Overview of Concerns](#) with the IGO Access to Curative RPM PDP WG Final Report (22 October 2018)
- [GNSO Council resolution](#) adopting Recommendations 1-4 of the IGO Access to Curative RPM PDP WG (18 April 2019)
- [Report of Public Comments](#) for Board Consideration of the GNSO Council recommendations related to IGO Access to Curative RPMs (4 September 2019)

Further Information

ICANN Board Facilitation Documentation

- [Proposed Process For a Facilitated Dialogue Between GAC and GNSO](#) (March 2017)
- [Problem Statement Relating to the Protection of Acronyms of IGOs at the Second Level in gTLDs](#) (10 March 2017)
- [Briefing Paper: Reconciling GAC Public Policy Advice & GNSO Policy Recommendations](#) (10 March 2017)
- [Presentation](#), [recordings](#) and [summary](#) of the GNSO-GAC Facilitated Dialogue on IGO Protections (12 March 2017)

Policy Development Documentation

- [Issue Report](#) on Dispute Handling for IGO Names and Abbreviations (15 June 2007)
- [Final Issue Report](#) on amending the UDRP and URS to enable access to them by protected IGOs (24 May 2014)
- Pr. Edward Swaine [Legal Memorandum on IGO Immunity](#) (17 June 2016)
- [Initial Report](#) of the IGO CRPM PDP WG (19 January 2017)
- GNSO Council [Paper on Policy & Procedural Options relating to IGO Jurisdictional Immunity](#) (9 March 2018)
- [Summary Report on the Current Status of Consultations with the IGO IGO CRPM PDP WG](#) (12 April 2018)
- [Final Report](#) of the IGO CRPM PDP WG (17 July 2018)

Document Administration

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Meeting | ICANN66 Montréal, 2-7 November 2019 |
| Title | GAC Briefing - Session 2 - IGO Protections |
| Distribution | GAC Members (before meeting) and Public (after meeting) |
| Distribution Date | Version 3: 28 October 2019 |

Annex

Final Report on Update of Protection of IGO Names (ICANN Org Project)

PROJECT ON UPDATE OF PROTECTION OF INTERNATIONAL GOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATIONS (IGO) NAMES: FINAL REPORT

This project was initiated pursuant to GAC advice in the San Juan (ICANN 61) Communique.

Summary

This “trial” project between GAC and ICANN Organisation has been successful in allowing a significant number of IGOs to update the form of their name (for protection from registration at 2nd level) as well as identifying their name in a second language. It has also demonstrated the difficulty in contacting IGOs (for this purpose), and the need to have some form of on-going arrangements to allow additional IGOs to be contacted and those currently on the List to continue to update their information.

Detail

1. Consultation with IGOs

The project team set out to contact the 192 IGOs on the current list of protected names (the GAC IGO List of 22nd March 2013). The latter was derived from work in GAC back in March 2003 and is at <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/dryden-to-crocker-chalaby-annex2-22mar13-en.pdf>

The primary vehicle for this communication was a letter (approved by GAC leadership) that outlined the policy rationale for protection of IGO names and acronyms, invited IGOs to assess the current protection they had for their names and to determine whether protection of their name in a second language was appropriate. The letter, where appropriate, was followed up by a reminder and, in several cases, e-mail or voice communication.

Responses from IGOs were requested by the end of July. It is though clear that responses are being, and will be, made on an on-going basis. Several IGOs talked to had requirements to consult their membership before replying.

2. Project Team

It consisted of representatives from representatives of the GAC (OECD and WIPO), the GAC Support Team, and ICANN Organisation (GDD and Government Engagement).

Results

In total, formal communication was made with 168 of the 192 IGOs on the base list. Of the IGOs who have currently responded (only a handful have taken opportunity of changing the designation of their name, with the remainder confirming that the current designation was correct.

Thirty-six IGOs, in their responses, have so far taken the opportunity of asking for their name to be protected in a second language. These can be seen in [Annex 1](#).

While the formal communication did not request any responses concerning IGO acronyms, several IGOs confirmed their designations of such, welcoming, and noting the importance of the protection currently provided from registration at the 2nd level.

While the actual response rate from IGOs was low, we know from personal communication that many IGOs were simply pleased to know that their names had a degree of protection. In addition, the work securing contact details of the vast majority of the “192” will enable more efficient communication in the future.

Next Steps and Action for GAC

This brief Report is submitted to the GAC for their consideration. Pursuant to the latter the GAC is invited to approve, and if they so wish, give instruction to the Organisation (specifically GDD) to update the list of IGO names afforded protection at the 2nd level as per Annex 2.

While beyond the scope of this project, that fact that some responses from IGOs are still being received and will be on an on-going basis, indicate a need for an on-going mechanism through which IGOs can provide ICANN with relevant information.

Consideration may also be given as to a process under which IGOs not on the current list may also have their names protected at the second level.

There are also upward of 20 listed IGOs which, despite efforts, were not formally communicated with.

GE Team, October 2019

IGO Names - Janella_List [Dennis_List - DERIVED FROM Janella_List. Please edit this list to determine final list]

[Dennis_List - DERIVED FROM Janella_List. Please edit this list to determine final list]

About this Sheet: This included an update on the status of each organization on the original Dryden list

Columns:

Column A [List]: (O = Original Dryden List, P = Potential IGO'S researched by Jonathan)

| List | mergedID | 08232019 status | Sent Communication | Protected Name 1 | Protected Language 1 | Req_Acronym | Protected Name 2 | Protected Language 2 | Req_Acronym 2 |
|------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| O | 1 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | African Development Bank | | AfDB | | | |
| O | 2 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | African Union | | AU | | | |
| O | 3 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | African, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States | | ACP | | | |
| O | 4 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Agency for the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons in Latin America and the Caribbean | | OPANAL | | | |
| O | 5 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Andean Community | | CAN | | | |
| O | 6 | Contact info needed | | Andean Development Corporation | | CAF | | | |
| O | 7 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Asian Development Bank | | ADB | | | |
| O | 8 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Asociación Latinoamericana de Integración | | ALADI | | | |
| O | 9 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Association of Caribbean States | | ACS | | | |
| O | 10 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Association of Southeast Asian Nations | | ASEAN | | | |
| O | 11 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Caribbean Community | | CARICOM | | | |
| O | 12 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Central American Integration System | | SICA | | | |
| O | 13 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Collective Security Treaty Organization | | CSTO | | | |
| O | 14 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Commission de l'Océan Indien | | COI | | | |
| O | 15 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | Common Fund for Commodities | English | CFC | | | |
| O | 16 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Commonwealth of Independent States | | CIS | | | |
| O | 17 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Community of Sahel-Saharan States | | CEN-SAD | | | |
| O | 18 | Contact info needed - GAC List | | Comunidade dos Países de Língua Portuguesa | | CPLP | | | |
| O | 19 | Contact info needed | | Cooperation Council for the Arab States of the Gulf | | GCC | | | |
| O | 20 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Council of Europe | | COE | | | |
| O | 21 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | East African Community | | EAC | | | |
| O | 22 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Economic Community of Central African States | | ECCAS | | | |
| O | 23 | Update Requested | | Economic Community of West African States | English | ECOWAS / CEDEAC | Communauté Ecor | French | |
| O | 24 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Economic Cooperation Organization | | ECO | | | |
| O | 25 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | International Energy Charter | English | IECh | | | |
| O | 26 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Eurasian Development Bank | | EABR | | | |
| O | 27 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | EurAsian Economic Community | | EurAsEC | | | |
| O | 28 | Update Requested | | European Organization for Nuclear Research | English | | Organisation euroj | French | |
| O | 29 | Contact info needed - GAC List | | European Union | | EU | | | |
| O | 30 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | Hague Conference on Private International Law | English | HCCH | Conférence de La | French | |
| O | 31 | Update Requested | | Inter-American Development Bank | English | | Banco Interameric | Spanish | |
| O | 32 | Contact info needed | | Intergovernmental Authority on Development | | IGAD | | | |
| O | 33 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Centre for Migration Policy Development | | ICMPD | | | |
| O | 34 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Criminal Court | | ICC-CPI | | | |
| O | 35 | Update Requested | | International Development Law Organization | English | IDLO / OIDD | Organisation Intern | French | |
| O | 36 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | International Humanitarian Fact-Finding Commission | English | IHFFC | Commission intern | French | |
| O | 37 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Hydrographic Organization | | IHO | | | |
| O | 38 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance | | IDEA | | | |
| O | 39 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Organization for Migration | | IOM | | | |
| O | 40 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Renewable Energy Agency | | IRENA | | | |
| O | 41 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Seabed Authority | | ISA | | | |
| O | 42 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea | | ITLOS | | | |
| O | 43 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Islamic Development Bank Group | | IDB | | | |
| O | 44 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Italian-Latin American Institute | | IILA | | | |
| O | 45 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Latin American and Caribbean Economic System | | SELA | | | |
| O | 46 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Latin American Parliament | | PARLATINO | | | |
| O | 47 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | League of Arab States | | LAS | | | |
| O | 48 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development | English | OECD | Organisation de co | French | |
| O | 49 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | francophonie | French | OIF | Organisation intern | English | |
| O | 50 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Organisation of Eastern Caribbean States | | OECS | | | |
| O | 51 | Update Requested | | Organisation of Islamic Cooperation | English | | Organisation de Cc | French | |
| O | 52 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance | English | International IDEA | | | |
| O | 53 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | Organization of American States | English | OAS | Organización de Es | Spanish | OEA |
| O | 54 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Organization of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation | | BSEC | | | |
| O | 55 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Pacific Islands Forum | | FORUMSEC | | | |
| O | 56 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | Permanent Court of Arbitration | English | PCA | Cour permanente | French | |
| O | 57 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Shanghai Cooperation Organisation | | SCO | | | |
| O | 58 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation | | SAARC | | | |
| O | 59 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | South Centre | | SOUTHCENTRE | | | |
| O | 60 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Southern African Development Community | | SADC | | | |
| O | 61 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | The OPEC Fund for International Development | English | OFID | | | |
| O | 62 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Union Economique et Monétaire Ouest Africaine | | UEMOA | | | |
| O | 63 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Union of South American Nations | | UNASUR | | | |
| O | 64 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | World Customs Organization | | WCO | | | |
| O | 65 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | African Intellectual Property Organization | | AIPO | | | |
| O | 66 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | African Petroleum Producers Association | | APPA | | | |
| O | 67 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | African Regional Intellectual Property Organization | | ARIPO | | | |
| O | 68 | Update Requested | | Amazon Cooperation Treaty Organization | English | ACTO | Organización del T | Spanish | |
| O | 69 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | ASEAN Promotion Centre on Trade, Investment, and Tourism | | ASEAN | | | |
| O | 70 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Asian Productivity Organization | | APO | | | |
| O | 71 | Update Requested | | Bank for International Settlements | English | | Banque des Règler | French | |
| O | 72 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Benelux Organization for Intellectual Property | | BOIP | | | |
| O | 73 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Black Sea Trade and Development Bank | | BSTDP | | | |

IGO Names - Janella_List [Dennis_List - DERIVED FROM Janella_List. Please edit this list to determine final list]

[Dennis_List - DERIVED FROM Janella_List. Please edit this list to determine final list]

About this Sheet: This included an update on the status of each organization on the original Dryden list

Columns:

Column A [List]: (O = Original Dryden List, P = Potential IGO'S researched by Jonathan)

| List | mergedID | 08232019 status | Sent Communication | Protected Name 1 | Protected Language 1 | Req_Acronym | Protected Name 2 | Protected Language 2 | Req_Acronym 2 |
|------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|--------------|--|----------------------|---------------|
| O | 74 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | Bureau international des poids et mesures | French | BIPM | International Bure: English | | |
| O | 75 | Incomplete | | Caribbean Telecommunications Union | | CTU | | | |
| O | 76 | Contact info needed | | Central Bank of West African States | | BCEAO | | | |
| O | 77 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | European Conference of Postal and Telecommunications Administrations | English | CEPT | Conférence européenne: French | | |
| O | 78 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Council of Europe Development Bank | | CEB | | | |
| O | 79 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | EFTA Surveillance Authority | English | EFTASURV | | | |
| O | 80 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | EFTA Surveillance Authority | | EFTASURV | | | |
| O | 81 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | EUCLID University | | EUCLID | | | |
| O | 82 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Eurasian Patent Organization | | EAPO | | | |
| O | 83 | Contact info needed | | European Atomic Energy Community | | EURATOM | | | |
| O | 84 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | European Bank for Reconstruction and Development | | EBRD | | | |
| O | 85 | Contact info needed | | European Central Bank | | ECB | | | |
| O | 86 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts | | ECMWF | | | |
| O | 87 | Contact info needed | | European Commission | | EC | | | |
| O | 88 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | European Conference of Ministers of Transport | | ECMT | | | |
| O | 89 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | European Council | | EUCO | | | |
| O | 90 | Contact info needed - GAC List | | European External Action Service | | EEAS | | | |
| O | 91 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | European Forest Institute | English | EFI | | | |
| O | 92 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | European Free Trade Association | | EFTA | | | |
| O | 93 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | European Investment Bank | | EIB | | | |
| O | 94 | Update Requested | | European Molecular Biology Laboratory | English | EMBL | Europäisches Laboratorium: German | | |
| O | 95 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | European Organisation for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere | English | ESO | European Southern Observatory: English | | ESO |
| O | 96 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | European Organisation for the Safety of Air Navigation | | EUROCONTROL | | | |
| O | 97 | Contact info needed | | European Parliament | | EP | | | |
| O | 98 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | European Patent Office | English | EPO | Office européen des brevets: French | | |
| O | 99 | Contact info needed | | European Patent Organisation | | EPO | | | |
| O | 100 | Contact info needed - GAC List | | European Police Office | | EUROPOL | | | |
| O | 101 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | European Space Agency | | ESA | | | |
| O | 102 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations | | FAO | | | |
| O | 103 | Update Requested | | Inter-American Investment Corporation | English | | Corporación Interaamericana de Fomento: Spanish | | |
| O | 104 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | International Anti-Corruption Academy | English | IACA | | | |
| O | 105 | Update Requested | | International Atomic Energy Agency | English | | | | |
| O | 106 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Centre for Advanced Mediterranean Agronomic Studies | | CIHEAM | | | |
| O | 107 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes | | ICSID | | | |
| O | 108 | Update Requested | | INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION | English | | ORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE DE L'AVIATION CIVILE: French | | |
| O | 109 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | International Cocoa Organization | English | ICCO | Organisation internationale de la cacao: French | | |
| O | 110 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Coffee Organization | | ICO | | | |
| O | 111 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Court of Justice | | ICJ-CJ | | | |
| O | 112 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Development Association | | IDA | | | |
| O | 113 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Energy Agency | | IEA | | | |
| O | 114 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Exhibitions Bureau | | BIE | | | |
| O | 115 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Finance Corporation | | IFC | | | |
| O | 116 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Fund for Agricultural Development | | IFAD | | | |
| O | 117 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Institute for the Unification of Private Law | | UNIDROIT | | | |
| O | 118 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Joint Commission | | IJC | | | |
| O | 119 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Labour Organization | | ILO | | | |
| O | 120 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Maritime Organization | | IMO | | | |
| O | 121 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Mobile Satellite Organization | | IMSO | | | |
| O | 122 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Monetary Fund | | IMF | | | |
| O | 123 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Olive Oil Council | | IOOC | | | |
| O | 124 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Organization for Economic, Scientific and Technical Cooperation in the Americas | | INTERELECTRA | | | |
| O | 125 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Science and Technology Center | | ISTC | | | |
| O | 126 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Sugar Organization | | ISO | | | |
| O | 127 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | Union internationale des télécommunications | French | UIT | International Telecommunications Union: English | | ITU |
| O | 128 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Telecommunications Satellite Organization | | ITSO | | | |
| O | 129 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | International Tropical Timber Organization | English | ITTO | | | |
| O | 130 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Tropical Timber Organization | | ITTO | | | |
| O | 131 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | International Whaling Commission | English | IWC | | | |
| O | 132 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | ITER International Fusion Energy Organization | English | ITER | Organisation internationale de la fusion nucléaire: French | | |
| O | 133 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | ITER International Fusion Energy Organization | | ITER | | | |
| O | 134 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Mekong River Commission | | MRC | | | |
| O | 135 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Mercado Común de Sur América | | MERCOSUR | | | |
| O | 136 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency | | MIGA | | | |
| O | 137 | Update Requested | | NORDIC INVESTMENT BANK | English | | NORDISKA INVESTMENT BANK: Swedish | | |
| O | 138 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Nordic Patent Institute | | NPI | | | |
| O | 139 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization | English | NASCO | Organisation pour la conservation du saumon de l'Atlantique Nord: French | | NASCO |
| O | 140 | Update Requested | | North Atlantic Treaty Organization | English | | Organisation du traité de l'Atlantique Nord: French | | |
| O | 141 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | North Pacific Marine Science Organization | | PICES | | | |
| O | 142 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Organisation for Joint Armament Co-operation | | OCCAR | | | |
| O | 143 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Organisation for the Harmonisation of Business Law in Africa | | OHADA | | | |
| O | 144 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons | English | OPCW | Organisation pour la prohibition des armes chimiques: French | | |
| O | 145 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Organisation Internationale de la Vigne et du Vin | | OIV | | | |
| O | 146 | Contact info needed | | Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries | | OPEC | | | |

IGO Names - Janella_List [Dennis_List - DERIVED FROM Janella_List. Please edit this list to determine final list]

[Dennis_List - DERIVED FROM Janella_List. Please edit this list to determine final list]

About this Sheet: This included an update on the status of each organization on the original Dryden list

Columns:

Column A [List]: (O = Original Dryden List, P = Potential IGO'S researched by Jonathan)

| List | mergedID | 08232019 status | Sent Communication | Protected Name 1 | Protected Language 1 | Req_Acronym | Protected Name 2 | Protected Language 2 | Req_Acronym 2 |
|------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| O | 147 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Pacific Community | | SPC | | | |
| O | 148 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Pan American Health Organization | | PAHO | | | |
| O | 149 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Postal Union of the Americas, Spain and Portugal | | PUASP | | | |
| O | 150 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Preparatory Commission for the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty Organiza | | CTBTO | | | |
| O | 151 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | The Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa | | COMESA | | | |
| O | 152 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | Union Benelux | French | BENELUX | Benelux Unie | Dutch | |
| O | 153 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations | | UN | | | |
| O | 154 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION TO COMBAT DESERTIFICATION | English | UNCCD | CONVENTION DES | French | |
| O | 155 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organizatio | English | | Organisations des | French | |
| O | 156 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change | | UNFCCC | | | |
| O | 157 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations Industrial Development Organization | | UNIDO | | | |
| O | 158 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | Union postale universelle | French | UPU | Universal Postal U | English | UPU |
| O | 159 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | World Bank | | IBRD | | | |
| O | 160 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | World Health Organization | | WHO | | | |
| O | 161 | Update Requested | | World Intellectual Property Organization | English | | Organisation Moni | French | |
| O | 162 | Update Requested | | World Meteorological Organization | English | | Organisation mété | French | |
| O | 163 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | World Organisation for Animal Health | | OIE | | | |
| O | 164 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | World Tourism Organization | | UNWTO | | | |
| O | 165 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | World Trade Organization | | WTO | | | |
| O | 166 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Asian-African Legal Consultative Organization | | AALCO | | | |
| O | 167 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | Secretariat of the Conference on Interaction and Confidence Bu | English | CICA Secretariat | Секретариат Сове | Russian | |
| O | 168 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Conference on the Great Lakes Region of Africa | | ICGLR | | | |
| O | 169 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | International Criminal Police Organization - INTERPOL | English | INTERPOL | Organisation interi | French | INTERPOL |
| O | 170 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Fund for Saving the Aral Sea | | IFAS | | | |
| O | 171 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources | | IUCN | | | |
| O | 172 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe | | OSCE | | | |
| O | 173 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Parliamentary Assembly of the Mediterranean | | PAM | | | |
| O | 174 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Partners in Population and Development | | PPD | | | |
| O | 175 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Regional Centre on Small Arms in the Great Lakes Region, the Horn of Africa and B | | RECSA | | | |
| O | 176 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | The Commonwealth | | COMMONWEALTH | | | |
| O | 177 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | University for Peace | | UPEACE | | | |
| O | 178 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | International Trade Centre | | INTRACEN | | | |
| O | 179 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS | | UNAIDS | | | |
| O | 180 | Contact info needed | | United Nations Children's Fund | | UNICEF | | | |
| O | 181 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations Conference on Trade and Development | | UNCTAD | | | |
| O | 182 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations Development Programme | | UNDP | | | |
| O | 183 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women | | UNWOMEN | | | |
| O | 184 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations Environment Programme | | UNEP | | | |
| O | 185 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees /UN Refugee Agency | | UNHCR | | | |
| O | 186 | Incomplete | | United Nations Human Settlements Programme | | UN-HABITAT | | | |
| O | 187 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations International Computing Centre | | UNICC | | | |
| O | 188 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations Office for Project Services | | UNOPS | | | |
| O | 189 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations Population Fund | | UNFPA | | | |
| O | 190 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East | | UNRWA | | | |
| O | 191 | Update Requested | Sent Communication | United Nations University | English | UNU | 国際連合大学 | Japanese | |
| O | 192 | No Change Requested | Sent Communication | World Food Programme | | WFP | | | |