

04 April 2025

Preliminary GNSO Council Review of Seattle GAC Communiqué

TO: Tripti Sinha

Chair, ICANN Board of Directors

CC: Nicolas Caballero, GAC Chair

Dear Tripti,

On behalf of the GNSO Council, we are hereby transmitting to you the GNSO Council's *preliminary* review of the Seattle GAC Communiqué in advance of the Board's meeting with the GAC on 07 April. The GNSO Council will be considering the review for adoption at its 10 April meeting, after which the attached review document on the Issues of Importance will be formally transmitted to the Board.

The GNSO Council's review of each GAC Communiqué is an effort to provide feedback to you, in your capacity as members of the ICANN Board, as you consider issues referenced in the Communiqué that we believe relate to policies governing generic Top-Level Domains. Our intent is to inform you and the broader community of gTLD policy activities, either existing or planned, that may directly or indirectly relate to advice provided by the GAC. The GNSO Council hopes that the input provided through its review of the GAC Communiqué will enhance co-ordination and promote the sharing of information on gTLD related policy activities between the GAC, Board and the GNSO.

Kindly,

Greg DiBiase, GNSO Chair

GNSO COUNCIL REVIEW OF ISSUES OF IMPORTANCE CONTAINED IN THE ICANN82 GAC COMMUNIQUE'

| Topic | Details | To which group(s) is the GAC text directed? | Does the issue of importance concern an issue that can be considered within the remit¹ of the GNSO (yes/no) | How has this issue been/is being/will be dealt with by the GNSO? | Does the GNSO want to provide additional feedback to the Board, the GAC, and/or another group? Please specify the response, target audience, and suggested method of communication or engagement (for example via this template, correspondence, and/or dialogue). |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| Urgent Requests for Disclosure of Registration Data 2 | The GAC appreciates its shared understanding with the Board and GNSO Council that the existing Registration Data Policy Implementation Review Team (IRT) is the best venue to discuss the response timeline for authenticated Urgent Requests. The GAC supports the PSWG's establishment of a Practitioners Group to advance its technical work toward authentication solutions, | no particular group | yes | Council discussed this issue in Seattle and agreed to ask ICANN Org to reconvene the IRT. A letter to this effect was sent dated 27 March 2025. | The GNSO Council recognizes that the GAC's Public Safety Working (PSWG) is currently leading the work to explore a mechanism to authenticate law enforcement. The GNSO Council would appreciate it if the PSWG could provide regular updates regarding this effort. The GNSO Council reiterates that any policy issues that arise in the future regarding the authentication mechanism will |

_

¹ As per the ICANN Bylaws: 'There shall be a policy-development body known as the Generic Names Supporting Organization (GNSO), which shall be responsible for developing and recommending to the ICANN Board substantive policies relating to generic top-level domains.

| | which is focused initially on authenticating law enforcement requestors. | | | have to be dealt with using the existing procedure, i.e., the GNSO's policy development process. |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|
| Registration Data Request Service (RDRS) | taking steps to make RDRS participation mandatory for all gTLD registrars. The system's ability to address requests for data underlying privacy and proxy registrations should | ICANN Board | reviewing the list of suggested improvements for RDRS and is currently drafting its final findings report/Council report where it will provide conclusions based on the metrics and data reviewed. | Final Report in the lead up to ICANN83. |
| | be improved. The RDRS should incorporate APIs to better facilitate RDRS usage by requestors and registrars and to make it ready to incorporate future authentication solutions for law enforcement requestors. | | | |

| Accuracy of | The GAC notes that the GNSO is | ICANN Org, | yes | Following its | |
|--------------|--|------------|-----|--|--|
| Registration | considering possible next steps on | GNSO | | deliberations at | |
| Data | this issue based on responses received to its recent threshold questions, including a submission from the GAC. | Council | | ICANN82 the Council agreed to start a small team on this issue to closely review the results of the registration data accuracy input assignment and provide a recommendation to the Council on next steps, and the small team has begun its call for volunteers. | |

| DNS Abuse | The GAC finds the INFERMAL report | GNSO | Yes | Following its | As the small team considers |
|-----------|---|---------|-----|--|---|
| | especially insightful as it contains | Council | | deliberations at | the multiple data points, and |
| | findings that may further support the | | | ICANN82 the GNSO | studies and ascertains the next |
| | need for a targeted Policy | | | Council agreed to reconvene the DNS Abuse Small Team via a new assignment | steps, we will keep the GAC members informed and seek their feedback. We are as committed as the GAC in moving forward on the DNS abuse issue with concrete steps. |
| | Development Process on DNS Abuse, something that was among the | | | | |
| | options for further work mentioned in the ICANN81 Communiqué. The GAC supports engaging in discussions with the GNSO Small Group on DNS Abuse and other community members to determine whether any policy development building on the findings would be advisable. In particular, the GAC considers it important to look further into the topic of bulk registrations of domain names as one of the most correlated | | | form with the scope to include consideration of: 1) the insights provided by ICANN Org (Compliance) and the INFERMAL study; 2) the previous Small Team recommendations and implementation; and | |
| | drivers to DNS Abuse, according to the INFERMAL report. | | | 3) list potential next steps on what other work (policy, further research, etc.) might be needed to address DNS abuse. A call for volunteers has been issued. | |